

Using the SSD Toolbox to account for interspecific variability in toxicity


M. Eттerson

Center for Computational Toxicology and Exposure
Great Lakes Toxicology and Ecology Division

K. Koehn

Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics

National Program: Chemical Safety for Sustainability
Research Areas: Ecotoxicological Analysis and Modeling
27 February 2020




GLTED@Work
The Great Lakes
Toxicology &
Ecology
Division

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT


Building a scientific foundation for sound environmental decisions

What is an SSD?

- SSD = Species Sensitivity Distribution
- “A SSD is a statistical distribution describing the variation among a set of species in toxicity of a certain compound or mixture” (Posthuma et al. 2002)





(selected) History of SSD




An SSD = a statistical model

- $\text{Log}_{10}(\text{LC50}) \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$
- $\text{Log}_{10}(\text{LC50}) \sim \mu + \varepsilon ; (\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma))$
- Inference usually is made on the 5th percentile (HC05)



Example – DDT 14d avian LD50s



Notice of FIFRA SAP Meeting; Consultation on Common Effects Assessment Methodology Developed in the Office of Pesticide Programs and Office of Water

Docket Folder Summary [View all documents and comments in this Docket](#)

Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0898 Agency: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Summary:
For further information contact: Sharlene Matten

[+ View More Docket Details](#)

Primary Documents [View All \(1\)](#)

N	Meetings: Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act Scientific Advisory Panel			Comment Period Closed Jan 17, 2012 11:59 PM ET
	Notice	Posted: 11/16/2011	ID: EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0898-0001	

Supporting Documents [View All \(22\)](#)

SR	FIFRA SAP Final Meeting Report-SAP Minutes No. 2012-02 A Set of Scientific Issues Being Considered			Comments Not Accepted
	Supporting & Related Material	Posted: 05/15/2012	ID: EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0898-0027	
SR	Background and Overview of Methods for Characterizing Effects of Pesticides on Aquatic Organisms			Comments Not Accepted
	Supporting & Related Material	Posted: 02/02/2012	ID: EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0898-0019	
SR	Extrapolation Factors for Estimation of HC5s			Comments Not Accepted
	Supporting & Related Material	Posted: 02/02/2012	ID: EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0898-0022	

2012
FIFRA Science Advisory
Panel

Take a Tour!

Sign up for Email Alerts

3
Comments Received*

Tweet Share Email

*This count refers to the total comment/submissions received on this docket, as of 11:59 PM yesterday. Note: Agencies review all submissions, however some agencies may choose to redact, or withhold, certain submissions (or portions thereof) such as those containing private or proprietary information, inappropriate language, or duplicate/near duplicate examples of a mass-mail campaign. This can result in discrepancies between this count and those displayed when conducting searches on the Public Submission document type. For specific information about an agency's public submission policy, refer to its website or the Federal Register document.

$$\text{Log}_{10}(\text{LC50}) \sim \mu + \varepsilon \quad ; \quad \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma)$$

Assumptions:

- All variation in sensitivity is random
- Toxicity data are an unbiased sample that is representative of the set of species for which regulatory protection is intended
- Toxicity test results for species in SSD are accurate measurements of toxicity
- Field responses to exposure would be similar to laboratory test results



Questions we should ask about SSDs


- How does sample size influence bias and variance of the estimated HC05?
- How do different estimation methods influence properties of the estimated HC05?
- Is Akaike's Information Criterion a useful method for identifying the best distribution?
- Are goodness-of-fit tests reliable measures of performance?
- Does model-averaging across distributions improve estimates of the HC05?

Endangered Species

[Endangered Species Home](#)[About the Endangered Species Protection Program](#)[Assessing Pesticides Under the Endangered Species Act](#)[Endangered Species: Information For Pesticides Users](#)[Litigation on Endangered Species and Pesticides](#)[Bulletins Live!](#)[For Kids](#)

Interim Approaches for Pesticide Endangered Species Act Assessments Based on NAS Report Recommendations

EPA worked with the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce and the Interior to develop the following Interim Approaches for Pesticide Endangered Species Act Assessments based on National Academy of Sciences Report Recommendations (2010). The interim approaches were used by EPA to finalize biological evaluations for the three pilot chemicals: chlorpyrifos, diazinon and malathion in 2017. The [Draft Revised Method for National Level Endangered Species Risk Assessment Process for Biological Evaluations of Pesticides](#) released in May 2019 is an important step in further refining the interim approaches.

**NOAA FISHERIES**

Standalone Software

SSD Toolbox

File Plot

PermethrinAcuteData.xlsx

Fit Distribution

Status: Ready

Fitting method: maximum likelihood

Quantile cutoff (0-1): 1

Distribution: normal

Goodness of Fit: Iterations: 1000

Scaling parameters: Scale to Body Weight
Scaling factor: 1.15
Target weight: 100 g


Results:

	Distribution	Method	HC05	P
1	normal	ML	0.0736	0.0709
2	logistic	ML	0.0779	0.0639
3	triangular	ML	0.0508	0.0549
4	gumbel	ML	0.0637	0.0330
5	weibull	ML	0.0578	0.3187
6	burr	ML	0.0202	0.6454

Design Criteria:

- Intuitive decision process for model-fitting
- Methods vetted through peer-review
- Standardized QA/QC
- Extensive help in User's Guide and Technical Manual
- Easy to use!

(selected) History of SSD




RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Building a scientific foundation for sound environmental decisions

SSD Toolbox Features

- Ability to fit six distributions accommodating differently “shaped” data (normal, logistic, triangular, Gumbel, Weibull, & Burr_{III})
- AIC_c methods for distinguishing among distributions
- Post-hoc Goodness of Fit (GoF) tests
- Extensive graphing and visualization tools
- Distribution-averaging of HC05 estimates
- Ability to use non-definitive toxicity values (e.g., $LC50 > x$)




Software Demo!



Using SSD Toolbox for the TSCA Risk Evaluation for TCE

- TSCA Background:
 - Under TSCA, OPPT evaluates and regulates, as appropriate, the full life cycle of a chemical, *i.e.*, manufacture (import), distribution in commerce, use and disposal.
 - In 2016, TSCA was amended by the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act
 - Currently OPPT is drafting risk evaluations for the first 10 chemicals, including TCE, since the Lautenberg Act was signed.
- Used SSD Toolbox for aquatic toxicity data: algae data and acute toxicity data



The screenshot shows the EPA website page for the draft risk evaluation of Trichloroethylene (TCE). The page header includes the EPA logo and navigation links for Environmental Topics, Laws & Regulations, and About EPA. The main title is "Draft Risk Evaluation for Trichloroethylene". The page content includes a summary of the draft evaluation, a list of links for more information, and a "CONTACT US" button. The page also features a search bar and social media sharing options.

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Environmental Topics Laws & Regulations About EPA Search EPA.gov

Assessing and Managing Chemicals under TSCA

CONTACT US

SHARE

Draft Risk Evaluation for Trichloroethylene

In the draft trichloroethylene (TCE) risk evaluation, EPA reviewed 54 potential conditions of use. [Below are the draft risk evaluation and supporting documents for TCE.](#)

Upon publication of the Federal Register notice, the agency will accept comments on the draft risk evaluation for 60 days in docket EPA-HQ-OPPT-2019-0500. [EPA also will hold a peer review meeting of EPA's Science Advisory Committee on Chemicals \(SACC\) on the draft risk evaluation for this chemical's conditions of use on March 24-26, 2020.](#)

To prepare a draft risk evaluation, EPA reviews extensive scientific literature, conducts modeling and other risk assessment activities, and collects exposure, fate, and transport information from many sources. EPA looks at how the chemical is used today, what we know about the chemical's fate, transport, and toxicity, and whether the chemical's relevant conditions of use could pose an unreasonable risk of injury to human health or the environment. [Learn more about EPA's risk evaluation process.](#)


On this page:

- [Draft risk evaluations findings](#)
- [Using products safely and alternatives](#)
- [Public participation, peer review, and next steps](#)
- [Draft risk evaluation and supporting documents](#)

Algae toxicity data for TCE

Fig 1. SSD using EC₅₀ algae data for TCE (triangular)


- Algae toxicity data on TCE had a wide range of values.
- SSD was used as a line of evidence for assessing algae in this assessment.
- The resulting SSD calculated an HC₀₅ of 52 mg/L or 52,000 µg/L.



Acute aquatic toxicity data for TCE

- SSD was also used as a line of evidence for interpreting acute toxicity data for other aquatic organisms.
- The model-averaged HC₀₅ from all four distributions was 9.9 mg/L or 9,900 µg/L.
- The SSDs showed aquatic invertebrates were the most sensitive species.

Fig 2. SSDs using acute data for TCE (Gumbel, logistic, triangular, normal)




The Future of SSD: Systematic Variation

$$\text{Log}_{10}(\text{LC50}) \sim \mu + \varepsilon$$

■ $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma)$

Data from Fojut et al. 2012. Rev. Env. Contam. & Tox.




The Future of SSD: Systematic Variation

$$\text{Log}_{10}(\text{LC50}) \sim \mu + \varepsilon$$

- $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma)$
- $\mu = f(\text{invertebrate versus vertebrate})$


Data from Fojut et al. 2012. Rev. Env. Contam. & Tox.



The Future of SSD: Systematic Variation

$$\text{Log}_{10}(\text{LC50}) \sim \mu + \varepsilon$$



- $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma)$
- $\mu = ?$



The Future of SSD

$$\text{Log}_{10}(\text{LC50}) \sim \mu + \varepsilon$$



- $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma)$
- $\mu = f(\text{phylogeny} + \text{natural history} + \text{AOP} + \dots?)$



The Future of SSD

$$\text{Log}_{10}(\text{LC50}) \sim \mu + \varepsilon$$

- $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma)$
- $\mu = f(\text{phylogeny} + \text{natural history} + \text{AOP} + \dots?)$



Future of the SSD Toolbox?

- www.epa.gov/chemical-research/species-sensitivity-distribution-toolbox
- Further enhancement of visualization tools
- Increased model-fitting capacity using MCMC sampler

← Going live soon!

