

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0098

Number: P-18-0098

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination: The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk (5(a)(3)(C))

Chemical Name:

Generic: Polyphosphoric acids, polymers with (alkoxyalkoxy)alkanol and substituted heteromonocycle

Conditions of Use (intended, known, or reasonably foreseen)¹:

Intended conditions of use (specific): Import, process for use, and use as a dispersing additive for pigments at a maximum concentration of [claimed CBI]% by weight in the formulation of the final product, consistent with the manufacturing, processing, use, distribution, and disposal information described in the PMN.

Known conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are known conditions of use and found none.

Reasonably foreseen conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are reasonably foreseen conditions of use and found none.

Summary: The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other nonrisk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by the Administrator under the conditions of use, based on the risk assessment presented below. Although EPA estimated that the new chemical substance could be very persistent, the substance has low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food-chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms. The biodegradation product, inorganic phosphate, is part of the phosphorous cycle and was not evaluated for persistence or bioaccumulation. Based on EPA's TSCA New Chemicals Program Chemical Category for

¹ Under TSCA § 3(4), the term "conditions of use" means "the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of." In general, EPA considers the intended conditions of use of a new chemical substance to be those identified in the section 5(a) notification. Known conditions of use include activities within the United States that result from manufacture that is exempt from PMN submission requirements. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use are future circumstances, distinct from known or intended conditions of use, under which the Administrator expects the chemical substance to be manufactured, processed, distributed, used, or disposed of. The identification of "reasonably foreseen" conditions of use will necessarily be a case-by-case determination and will be highly fact-specific. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use will not be based on hypotheticals or conjecture. EPA's identification of conditions of use includes the expectation of compliance with federal and state laws, such as worker protection standards or disposal restrictions, unless case-specific facts indicate otherwise. Accordingly, EPA will apply its professional judgment, experience, and discretion when considering such factors as evidence of current use of the new chemical substance outside the United States, evidence that the PMN substance is sufficiently likely to be used for the same purposes as existing chemical substances that are structurally analogous to the new chemical substance, and conditions of use identified in an initial PMN submission that the submitter omits in a revised PMN. The sources EPA uses to identify reasonably foreseen conditions of use include searches of internal confidential EPA PMN databases (containing use information on analogue chemicals), other U.S. government public sources, the National Library of Medicine's Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB), the Chemical Abstract Service STN Platform, REACH Dossiers, technical encyclopedias (e.g., Kirk-Othmer and Ullmann), and Internet searches.

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0098

[claimed CBI]², test data on analogous chemical substances, and estimated physical/chemical properties of the new chemical substance and other structural information, EPA estimates that the chemical substance has high environmental hazard and potential for the following human health hazards: skin irritation, eye irritation, reproductive toxicity, and specific target organ toxicity. EPA concludes that the new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk under the conditions of use.

Fate: Environmental fate is the determination of which environmental compartment(s) a chemical moves to, the expected residence time in the environmental compartment(s) and removal and degradation processes. Environmental fate is an important factor in determining exposure and thus in determining whether a chemical may present an unreasonable risk. EPA estimated physical/chemical and fate properties of the new chemical substance using data for analogue(s) (polymers). In wastewater treatment, the new chemical substance is expected to be removed with an efficiency of 90% due to sorption and partial biodegradation. Removal of the new chemical substance by biodegradation is negligible and destruction (mineralization) of the new chemical substance by biodegradation is partial. Sorption of the new chemical substance to sludge, soil, and sediment is expected to be strong. Migration of the new chemical substance to groundwater is expected to be negligible due to partial biodegradation. Due to low estimated vapor pressure and Henry's law constant, the new chemical substance is expected to undergo negligible volatilization to air. Overall, these estimates indicate that the new chemical substance has low potential to volatilize to air or migrate to groundwater.

Persistence³: Persistence is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because chemicals that are not degraded in the environment at rates that prevent substantial buildup in the environment, and thus increase potential for exposure, may present a risk if the substance presents a hazard to human health or the environment. EPA estimated degradation half-lives of the new chemical substance using data for analogues (polymers). EPA estimated that the new chemical substance's aerobic biodegradation half-life is 2 to 6 months and anaerobic biodegradation half-life is > 6 months. These estimates indicate that the new chemical substance may be persistent in aerobic environments (e.g., surface water) and may be very persistent in anaerobic environments (e.g., sediment).

Bioaccumulation⁴: Bioaccumulation is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because substances that bioaccumulate in aquatic and/or terrestrial

² TSCA New Chemicals Program (NCP) Chemical Categories. <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/chemical-categories-used-review-new>.

³ Persistence: A chemical substance is considered to have limited persistence if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediment of less than 2 months or if there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 2 months but less than or equal to 6 months or if there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 6 months or if there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4, 1999)

⁴ Bioaccumulation: A chemical substance is considered to have a low potential for bioaccumulation if there are bioconcentration factors (BCF) or bioaccumulation factors (BAF) of less than 1,000 or if there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 1,000 or greater and less than or equal to 5,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0098

species pose the potential for elevated exposures to humans and other organisms via food chains. EPA estimated the potential for the new chemical substance to bioaccumulate using data for analogues (polymers) and of the biodegradation product, inorganic phosphate, to bioaccumulate using data for analogue(s) (phosphoric acid and related phosphorous-containing species). EPA estimated the potential for the new chemical substance to bioaccumulate using data for analogues (polymers). EPA estimated that the new chemical substance has low bioaccumulation potential based on large predicted molecular volume, which limits bioavailability. Although EPA estimated that the new chemical substance could be very persistent, the substance has low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food-chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms.

Human Health Hazard⁵: Human health hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated the human health hazard of this chemical substance based on its estimated physical/chemical properties, by comparing it to structurally analogous chemical substances for which there is information on human health hazard, and other structural information. Absorption of the new chemical substance is expected to be poor through skin when neat, poor to moderate through skin when in solution, and nil through the lungs and the gastrointestinal (GI) tract when neat based on physical/chemical properties. For the new chemical substance, EPA identified hazards for irritation of the skin, eyes, and respiratory tract, reproductive and developmental effects based on quantitative hazard data for an analogue and [claimed CBI] structural alert. EPA also identified lung effects based on the structural alert for surfactants. EPA identified a benchmark dose lower-bound, human equivalent dose (BMDL_{HED}) of 70.8 mg/kg-bw/day based on developmental effects and a no observed adverse effect concentration (NOAEC) of 10 mg/m³ based on surfactant effects in the lung which were protective for all health concerns with the exception of dermal and eye irritation. These values were used to derive exposure route- and population-specific points of departure for quantitative risk assessment. EPA qualitatively evaluated dermal and eye irritation effects.

to be very bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 5,000 or greater or if there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4 1999)

⁵ A chemical substance is considered to have low human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) equal to or greater than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have moderate human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a NOAEL less than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have high human health hazard if there is evidence of adverse effects in humans or conclusive evidence of severe effects in animal studies with a NOAEL of less than or equal to 10 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances. EPA may also use Benchmark Dose Levels (BMDL) derived from benchmark dose (BMD) modeling as points of departure for toxic effects. See <https://www.epa.gov/bmds/what-benchmark-dose-software-bmds>. Using this approach, a BMDL is associated with a benchmark response, for example a 5 or 10 % incidence of effect. The aforementioned characterizations of hazard (low, medium, high) would also apply to BMDLs. In the absence of animal data on a chemical or analogous chemical substance, EPA may use other data or information such as from in vitro assays, chemical categories (e.g., Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2014 Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, Second Edition. ENV/JM/MONO(2014)4. Series on Testing & Assessment No. 194. Environment Directorate, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France. ([http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono\(2014\)4&doclanguage=en](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2014)4&doclanguage=en))), structure-activity relationships, and/or structural alerts to support characterizing human health hazards.

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0098

Environmental Hazard⁶: Environmental hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA determined environmental hazard for this new chemical substance based on structure activity relationship (SAR) predictions for anionic surfactants (special class within ECOSAR v.2.0) and hazard data on an analogous chemical. This substance falls within the TSCA New Chemicals Category of Anionic Surfactants. Acute toxicity values estimated for fish, aquatic invertebrates, and algae are >100 mg/L (ECOSAR), >100 mg/L (ECOSAR), and 0.5 mg/L (analogue), respectively. Chronic toxicity values estimated for fish, aquatic invertebrates, and algae are >10mg/L (ECOSAR), >10mg/L (ECOSAR), and 0.16 mg/L (analogue), respectively. These toxicity values indicate that the new chemical substance is expected to have high environmental hazard. Application of assessment factors of 4 and 10 to acute and chronic toxicity values, respectively, results in acute and chronic concentrations of concern of 0.125 mg/L (125 ppb) and 0.016 mg/L (16 ppb), respectively.

Exposure: The exposure to a new chemical substance is potentially relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risks because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance.

EPA estimates occupational exposure and environmental release of the new chemical substance under the intended conditions of use described in the PMN using ChemSTEER (Chemical Screening Tool for Exposures and Environmental Releases; <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/chemsteer-chemical-screening-tool-exposures-and-environmental-releases>). EPA uses EFAST (the Exposure and Fate Assessment Screening Tool; <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/e-fast-exposure-and-fate-assessment-screening-tool-version-2014>) to estimate general population, consumer, and environmental exposures.

EPA considers workers to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation (PESS) on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population. EPA also considers PESS in conducting general population drinking water exposures by evaluating risks associated with water intake rates for multiple age groups, ranging from infants to adults. EPA considers consumers of specific products to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population who do not use specific products.

⁶ A chemical substance is considered to have low ecotoxicity hazard if the Fish, Daphnid and Algae LC50 values are greater than 100 mg/L, or if the Fish and Daphnid chronic values (ChVs) are greater than 10.0 mg/L, or there are not effects at saturation (occurs when water solubility of a chemical substance is lower than an effect concentration), or the log Kow value exceeds QSAR cut-offs. A chemical substance is considered to have moderate ecotoxicity hazard if the lowest of the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s is greater than 1 mg/L and less than 100 mg/L, or where the Fish or Daphnid ChVs are greater than 0.1 mg/L and less than 10.0 mg/L. A chemical substance is considered to have high ecotoxicity hazard, or if either the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s are less than 1 mg/L, or any Fish or Daphnid ChVs is less than 0.1 mg/L (Sustainable Futures <https://www.epa.gov/sustainable-futures/sustainable-futures-p2-framework-manual>).

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0098

For this assessment, EPA assessed worker exposure via dermal and inhalation exposure. Releases to water, air, and landfill were estimated. Exposure to the general population was assessed via drinking water and fugitive and stack air releases. Exposure to the general population was not assessed via fish ingestion because bioaccumulation potential was evaluated to be low or via groundwater impacted by landfill leaching because exposures were expected to be negligible (below modeling thresholds). Exposure to consumers was assessed via dermal and inhalation exposure.

Risk Characterization: EPA applies a margin of exposure approach to calculate potential human health risks of new chemicals. A benchmark (acceptable) margin of exposure (MOE) is derived by applying uncertainty factors for the following types of extrapolations: intra-species extrapolation ($UF_H = 10$ to account for variation in sensitivity among the human population), inter-species extrapolation ($UF_A = 10$ to account for extrapolating from experimental animals to humans) and LOAEL-to-NOAEL extrapolation ($UF_L = 10$ to account for using a LOAEL when a NOAEL is not available). Hence, in the New Chemicals Program, a benchmark MOE is typically 100 and 1,000 when NOAELs and LOAELs, respectively, are used to identify hazard. When allometric scaling or pharmacokinetic modeling is used to derive an effect level, the UF_H may be reduced to 3, for a benchmark MOE of 30. The benchmark MOE is used to compare to the MOE calculated by comparing the toxicity NOAEL or LOAEL to the estimated exposure concentrations. When the calculated MOE is equal to or exceeds the benchmark MOE, the new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk. EPA assesses risks to workers considering engineering controls described in the PMN but in the absence of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves and respirators. If risks are preliminarily identified, EPA then considers whether the risks would be mitigated by the use of PPE (e.g., impervious gloves, respirator).

Risks to human health for the new chemical substance were evaluated using the route-specific effect levels (i.e., $BMDL_{HED}$ and NOAEC) described above. Risks were identified for workers for lung effects via inhalation exposure based on quantitative hazard data for an analogue ($MOE_{Respirable} = 15$; Benchmark MOE = 100; Fold Factor $_{Respirable} = 7$). Risks were not identified for workers for developmental effects via dermal exposure based on quantitative hazard data for an analogue (MOE = 31; Benchmark MOE = 30). Irritation hazards to workers via inhalation and dermal contact were identified based on analogue data. Risks for these endpoints were not quantified due to a lack of dose-response for these hazards. However, exposures and risks can be mitigated by the use of appropriate PPE, including impervious gloves, eye protection, protective clothing, respiratory protection with APF of at least 10. EPA expects that employers will require and that workers will use appropriate PPE consistent with the Safety Data Sheet (SDS) prepared by the new chemical submitter, in a manner adequate to protect them.

Risks were not identified for the general population for developmental effects via drinking water or fugitive air inhalation based on quantitative hazard data for an analogue ($MOE_{AdultDW} > 130,000$; $MOE_{InfantDW} > 30,000$; $MOE_{Fugitive\ air} > 280,000$; Benchmark MOE = 30). Risks were not identified for the general population for lung effects via fugitive air inhalation based on quantitative hazard data for an analogue (MOE = > 500 ; Benchmark MOE = 100). Risks were not evaluated for the general population via fish ingestion because bioaccumulation potential was evaluated to be low or via groundwater impacted by landfill leaching and stack air inhalation,

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0098

because exposures were expected to be negligible. Irritation hazards to the general population are not expected via drinking water or fugitive air inhalation due to dilution of the chemical substance in the media.

Risks were not identified for consumers for lung effects via inhalation based on quantitative hazard data for an analogue (MOE = 295; Benchmark MOE = 100). Respiratory tract irritation was identified as a hazard but is not expected from inhalation exposure to consumers since risks were not identified for lung effects to consumers based on the effect level identified for surfactancy. Risks were not identified for consumers for developmental effects via dermal exposure based on quantitative hazard data for an analogue (MOE = 267; Benchmark MOE = 30). Irritation hazards to consumers via dermal contact were identified based on structural alerts for anionic surfactants and the concentration of the new chemical substance in the final product ([claimed CBI]%), but EPA did not find unreasonable risk to consumers from dermal irritation.

Risks to the environment were evaluated by comparing estimated surface water concentrations with the acute and chronic concentrations of concern. Risks from acute and chronic exposures to the environment were not identified due to releases to water that did not exceed the acute or chronic COC.

Because worker exposures can be controlled by PPE, and no unreasonable risks to the general population, consumers, or the environment were identified, EPA has determined that the new chemical substance is not likely to present unreasonable risk to human health or the environment under the conditions of use.

4/21/2020
Date:

/s/
Tala R. Henry, Ph.D.
Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics