

## Proposed Amendments to Air Toxics Standards for Mercury Cell Chlor-Alkali Plants: Fact Sheet

### ACTION

- On January 4, 2021, the US. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposed amendments to the 2003 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Mercury Cell Chlor-Alkali Plants Residual Risk and Technology Review.
- The proposed amendments will provide clarifications, corrections and improved compliance and reduce emissions of hazardous air pollutants.
- Mercury cell chlor-alkali plants produce chlorine and caustic using mercury cells. Caustic is used to neutralize acidic compounds.
- Following a residual risk and technology review (RTR) conducted under the Clean Air Act (CAA), EPA is proposing to:
  - Correct and clarify regulatory provisions related to emissions during periods of startup, shutdown and malfunction (SSM), including proposing to eliminate exemptions during periods of SSM;
  - Amend the requirements for cell room fugitive mercury emissions to require work practice standards for the cell rooms and to require instrumental monitoring of cell room fugitive mercury emissions;
  - Add standards for fugitive chlorine emissions from mercury cell chlor-alkali plants, which are not currently covered in the 2003 NESHAP; and
  - Require facilities to submit electronic copies of notification of compliance status reports and submit electronic copies of performance test results and reports.
- EPA will accept comment on the proposed amendments for 45 days after publication in the *Federal Register*.

### RESIDUAL RISK ASSESSMENT

- The CAA requires EPA to assess the risk remaining after application of the final air toxics standards. This is known as a residual risk assessment.
- Based on the completed risk assessment, available health information and associated uncertainties, EPA determined risks from mercury cell chlor-alkali plants to be acceptable and provide an ample margin of safety to protect public health.
- The maximum individual cancer risk for inhalation is estimated to be less than 1-in-1 million for the Mercury Cell Chlor-Alkali Plants source category

## TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

- The CAA also requires EPA to assess, review and revise the air toxics standards as necessary, taking into account developments in practices, processes and control technologies since the standards were first issued.
- The technology assessment for mercury cell chlor-alkali plants identified cost-effective developments that included implementing a combination of continuous cell room mercury monitoring and work practices for mercury.

## REGULATORY GAPS

- In addition to the RTR analyses, pursuant to a recent court decision regarding the need to fill regulatory gaps, EPA is proposing work practice standards to address fugitive chlorine emissions, which is the one known regulatory gap for this source category.

## BACKGROUND

- The CAA requires EPA to regulate toxic air pollutants, also known as air toxics, from categories of industrial facilities in two phases.
- The first phase is “technology-based,” where EPA develops standards for controlling the emissions of air toxics from sources in an industry group (or “source category”). These maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standards are based on emissions levels that are already being achieved by the best-controlled and lower-emitting sources in an industry.
- Within eight years of setting MACT standards, the CAA directs EPA to assess the remaining health risks from each source category to determine whether the MACT standards protect public health with an ample margin of safety and protect against adverse environmental effects. This second phase is a “risk-based” approach called residual risk. Here, EPA must determine whether more health-protective standards are necessary.
- Also, every eight years after setting MACT standards, the CAA requires that EPA review and revise the standards, if necessary, to account for improvements in air pollution controls and/or prevention.

## HOW TO COMMENT

- EPA will accept comment on the proposal for 45 days after publication in the *Federal Register*.
- Comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2020-0560, may be submitted by one of the following methods:
  - Go to <https://www.regulations.gov/> and follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
  - Send comments by email to [a-and-r-docket@epa.gov](mailto:a-and-r-docket@epa.gov), Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2020-0560.

- Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Docket Center and Reading Room are closed to the public, with limited exceptions, to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID-19. Our Docket Center staff will continue to provide remote customer service via email, phone and webform. We encourage the public to submit comments via <https://www.regulations.gov/> or email, as there will be a delay in processing mail and faxes. For further information on EPA Docket Center services, please visit us online at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

#### **FOR MORE INFORMATION**

- To download a copy of the proposed rule notice, go to EPA's website at <https://www.epa.gov/stationary-sources-air-pollution/mercury-cell-chloralkali-plants-national-emissions-standards>.
- This proposed action and other background information are available electronically at <https://www.regulations.gov/>, EPA's electronic public docket and comment system.
  - Materials for this proposed action can be accessed using Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2020-0560.
- For further technical information about the proposed rule, contact Phil Mulrine, EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Sector Policies and Programs Division, at (919) 541-5289 or [mulrine.phil@epa.gov](mailto:mulrine.phil@epa.gov).