	Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 1 of 23
1 2	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California GAVIN G. MCCABE
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	MELINDA F. PILLING, SBN 274929 TIMOTHY E. SULLIVAN, SBN 197054
4	JONATHAN WIENER, SBN 265006 Deputy Attorneys General
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephones (415) 702 5060
6 7	Telephone: (415) 703-5969 Fax: (415) 703-5480
7	E-mail: Jonathan.Wiener@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, STATE OF NEW YORK, STATE OF CONNECTICUT, STATE OF ILLINOIS, STATE OF IOWA, STATE OF
13	OF ILLINOIS, STATE OF IOWA, STATE OF MAINE, STATE OF MARYLAND, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
14	STATE OF MINNESOTA, BY AND
15 16	THROUGH ITS MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, STATE OF OREGON, COMMONWE ALTH OF PENNSYL VANIA
17	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, STATE OF VERMONT, STATE OF WASHINGTON, and DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
18	Plaintiffs,
19	v.
20	V.
21	SCOTT PRUITT, as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection
22	Agency, and the UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
23	AGENCY,
24	Defendants.
25	
26	Plaintiffs California, New York, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland,
27	Massachusetts, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Washington, and the District of
28	Columbia, by their respective Attorneys General, and Minnesota, by and through its Minnesota
	Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relie

Pollution Control Agency, (collectively, the "States"), allege as follows:

#### 2

1

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

3 1. The States bring this action to compel E. Scott Pruitt, in his official capacity as 4 Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and the United States 5 Environmental Protection Agency (together, "EPA"), to fulfill their mandatory duty under the 6 Clean Air Act to designate all areas of the country as in or out of compliance with health and 7 welfare standards, referred to as the National Ambient Air Quality Standards ("NAAQS"), for 8 ozone. These designations trigger the steps necessary to protect the public from the various health 9 effects—such as heart disease, bronchitis, and asthma—this pollution causes or exacerbates. 10 Section 107(d)(1)(B) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B), requires the Administrator 11 to promulgate designations of "attainment," "nonattainment," or "unclassifiable" for the NAAQS 12 for all areas of the country as expeditiously as practicable, but in no case later than two years 13 from the date EPA promulgates a new NAAQS. EPA promulgated a new NAAQS for ozone on 14 October 1, 2015, and, more than two years later, EPA has not promulgated designations for all 15 areas. Indeed, EPA has not promulgated designations for *any* nonattainment areas, which are the 16 areas with the highest concentrations of ozone and so most in need of the corrective plans that 17 designation triggers. Therefore, the States seek both declaratory relief and an injunction requiring 18 EPA to promptly promulgate all overdue designations by a date certain.

19

#### **JURISDICTION**

20 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to section 304(a)(2) of the
21 Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), which authorizes any person, after duly giving notice, to
22 commence a citizen suit in district court against the EPA Administrator for failing to perform a
23 nondiscretionary duty under the Clean Air Act. The Court also has jurisdiction to hear this civil
24 action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1361 (action
25 to compel officer or agency to perform a duty owed to plaintiffs).

26

#### VENUE

27 3. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because a substantial
28 part of the events or omissions giving rise to the States' claim occur in this judicial district. The

#### Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 3 of 23

Administrator's failure to perform his nondiscretionary duty to designate areas as in or out of
 attainment with the ozone NAAQS is adversely impacting areas within this judicial district,
 which experiences elevated levels of ozone pollution. Indeed, California, as a result of its unique
 topography, contains some of the worst ozone pollution in the country, and is sorely in need of
 protection and planning.
 In addition, EPA has failed to issue a designation for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Despite the recommendation of the California Air Resources Board, EPA has not made a
nonattainment designation for the San Francisco Bay Area (comprising the counties of Marin,
Napa, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Francisco, and San Mateo, and portions of the
counties of Solano and Sonoma). The lack of a nonattainment designation for the Bay Area
undermines the ability of state and local regulators to improve the region's air quality, by
depriving them of crucial regulatory tools that are not readily available otherwise.

13 <u>INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT</u>
14 5. Intradistrict assignment of this matter to the San Francisco Division of the Court is
15 appropriate pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(e) because a substantial part of the events or
16 omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the County of San Francisco.

#### PARTIES

Plaintiff State of California is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf of
 its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect its
 interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and its
 interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

Plaintiff State of New York is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf of
its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect its
interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and its
interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

8. Plaintiff State of Connecticut is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf
of its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect

28

17

#### Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 4 of 23

its interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and
 its interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

9. Plaintiff State of Illinois is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf of its
citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect its
interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and its
interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

Plaintiff State of Iowa is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf of its
citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect its
interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and its
interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

11 11. Plaintiff State of Maine is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf of its
12 citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect its
13 interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and its
14 interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

15 12. Plaintiff State of Maryland is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf of
16 its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect its
17 interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and its
18 interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

19 13. Plaintiff Commonwealth of Massachusetts is a sovereign entity that brings this
action on behalf of its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own
behalf to protect its interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as
an employer, and its interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by
the State.

14. Plaintiff State of Minnesota, by and through its Minnesota Pollution Control
Agency ("MPCA"), brings this action to protect the health and well-being of its citizens and
residents, and to preserve its interest in providing environmental protection to the State. The
MPCA is Minnesota's lead agency for enforcing environmental regulations and is responsible for
reducing the amount of air pollution that is emitted in the State. Minn. Stat. § 116.07.

#### Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 5 of 23

Plaintiff State of Oregon is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf of its
 citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect its
 interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and its
 interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

16. Plaintiff Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is a sovereign entity that brings this
action on behalf of its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own
behalf to protect its interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as
an employer, and its interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by
the State.

10 17. Plaintiff State of Rhode Island is a sovereign entity that brings this action on
11 behalf of its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to
12 protect its interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an
13 employer, and its interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the
14 State.

15 18. Plaintiff State of Vermont is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf of
16 its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect its
17 interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and its
18 interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

19 19. Plaintiff State of Washington is a sovereign entity that brings this action on behalf
20 of its citizens and residents to protect their health and well-being, and on its own behalf to protect
21 its interests as administrator of healthcare programs and schools, its interests as an employer, and
22 its interests in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by the State.

23 20. Plaintiff District of Columbia is a municipal corporation created by an act of
24 Congress, and is the local government for the territory constituting the permanent seat of the
25 government of the United States, and is empowered, through its Office of the Attorney General,
26 to bring this action on behalf of its citizens and residents.

27 21. Each of the states is a "person" as defined in the applicable provision of the Clean
28 Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e).

1	22. Defendant E. Scott Pruitt is Administrator of the EPA and is sued in his official
2	capacity. The Administrator is charged with implementation and enforcement of the Clean Air
3	Act, including the requirement to timely promulgate nonattainment area designations for the
4	ozone NAAQS set forth in section 107(d)(1)(B) of the Clean Air Act.
5	23. Defendant United States Environmental Protection Agency is the federal agency
6	charged by Congress with implementing and enforcing the Clean Air Act, including the
7	requirement to timely promulgate nonattainment area designations for the ozone NAAQS.
8	STATUTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK
9	24. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to promulgate a health-based (primary) NAAQS
10	for criteria pollutants, such as ozone, at a level that is requisite to protect public health with an
11	adequate margin of safety. 42 U.S.C. § 7409(b)(1). EPA also must promulgate welfare-based
12	(secondary) NAAQS at a level that is requisite to protect public welfare. Id. § 7409(b)(2).
13	Pursuant to the statute, EPA is required to review and revise the NAAQS for criteria pollutants
14	every five years. <i>Id.</i> § 7409(d)(1).
15	25. EPA's promulgation of a NAAQS sets in motion a multiyear process under the
16	statute that is designed to result in cleaner air for the public. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 7407(d)(1)(A), (B)
17	(setting forth deadlines for state and EPA designations based on date the NAAQS was
18	promulgated) and 7410(a)(1) (deadline for states to submit implementation plan revisions tied to
19	NAAQS promulgation date).
20	26. Once EPA promulgates a NAAQS, within one year each State must recommend
21	designations of areas within its borders as either (1) "attainment," meaning the area attains the
22	NAAQS and does not contribute to another area's inability to attain the NAAQS;
23	(2) "nonattainment," meaning the area does not attain the NAAQS or contributes to another area's
24	inability to meet the NAAQS; or (3) "unclassifiable," which means the area cannot be classified
25	as attainment or nonattainment and will be treated, for regulatory purposes, as in attainment. 42
26	U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(A). After evaluating the recommended designations and making any
27	necessary changes, EPA must issue all designations "as expeditiously as practicable, but in no
28	case later than 2 years from the date of promulgation of the new or revised [NAAQS]." Id.
	6

#### Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 7 of 23

1	§ 7407(d)(1)(B)(i). EPA may extend its deadline to issue the designations by up to one year only
2	in the event that it has "insufficient information" to issue them. Id. If EPA intends to modify a
3	recommended designation for an area, it must give at least 120-day advance notice of the
4	proposed change to the state. 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(B)(ii).
5	27. The Clean Air Act's anti-backsliding provision locks in protections for
6	nonattainment areas once they are designated as nonattainment, even if a NAAQS is later
7	weakened. 42 U.S.C. § 7502(e).
8	28. A delay in any of the steps in this process delays when the public receives the air
9	quality benefits of a stronger air-quality standard.
10	STATEMENT OF FACTS
11	A. <u>Ozone Pollution</u>
12	29. Ozone—commonly referred to at ground level as "smog"—is a colorless, odorless
13	gas that forms when other pollutants, emerging from tailpipes and smokestacks, such as nitrogen
14	oxide and volatile organic compounds (known as ozone "precursors") react in the presence of
15	sunlight. EPA has found significant health effects associated with exposure to ozone, including
16	aggravation of existing conditions like asthma, bronchitis, heart disease, and emphysema, as well
17	as coughing, throat irritation, and lung tissue damage. Exposure to ozone also has been linked to
18	early deaths. Children, the elderly, and people who already have lung diseases are particularly at
19	risk from exposure to ozone pollution.
20	30. Ozone pollution is a particular problem in major metropolitan areas such as the
21	San Francisco Bay Area, Sacramento, Los Angeles, and the New York City area, as well as in
22	California's Central Valley. Ozone is not only a result of local pollution; many northeastern states
23	have high levels of ozone pollution because, in part, they are located downwind of numerous
24	coal-fired power plants in the Midwest. Other stationary and mobile sources can also contribute to
25	high ozone levels. Additionally, health effects can result from both long-term and short-term
26	exposure to ozone pollution. Therefore, interstate or upwind ozone pollution can harm public
27	health not only within nonattainment areas, but also in downwind areas within states that

7

28 experience short-term elevated ozone levels.

Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

1	31. The pollution reductions EPA expects to result from attainment of the 2015 ozone
2	NAAQS in California will—each year—save between 115 and 218 lives, prevent many hundreds
3	of hospital visits for asthma and other respiratory problems, and enable children to attend 120,000
4	days of school they would otherwise be forced to miss. EPA, Regulatory Impact Analysis of the
5	Final Revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ground-Level Ozone, ES-18,
6	tbl. ES-10, available at https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-
7	02/documents/20151001ria.pdf. All told, EPA projects that attainment in California alone will
8	lead to net annual health benefits of up to \$1.3 billion, a result of avoided health care costs, lost
9	work days, and school absences. Id., tbl. ES-9. Similar health and economic benefits are projected
10	by EPA to result from attainment of the NAAQS throughout the rest of the country each year,
11	including 316 to 660 lives saved, nearly 900 prevented hospital visits for asthma and other
12	respiratory problems, and 160,000 avoided school absences, culminating in net annual health
13	benefits of up to \$4.5 billion. Id., ES-15 & 16, tbls. ES-5 & 6.
14	<b>B.</b> <u>Failure of the Defendants to Promulgate Nonattainment Designations</u>
15	32. Acting upon the advice of its objective scientific committee and on the basis of
16	extensive studies showing that prior federal standards were set too high to protect public health
17	and welfare, EPA promulgated revisions to the primary and secondary standards for ozone
18	NAAQS on October 1, 2015, in a rule that was subsequently published in the Federal Register.
19	National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone, 80 Fed. Reg. 65,292 (Oct. 26, 2015), codified
20	at 40 C.F.R. § 50.15 ("2015 ozone NAAQS"). The 2015 ozone NAAQS lowered the primary and
21	secondary standard to 0.070 parts per million (ppm), strengthening the previous standard of 0.075
22	ppm set in 2008.
23	33. Upon information and belief, as required under section 107(d)(1)(A) of the Clean
24	Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(A), all states have submitted their recommended area
25	designations to EPA.
26	34. Under section $107(d)(1)(B)$ , 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B), EPA was required to issue
27	designations for all areas pursuant to the new, more protective standard by no later than October
20	
28	1, 2017.

#### Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 9 of 23

35. On June 21, 2017, EPA announced without notice and comment that it was giving
 itself a one-year extension to issue the designations, extending the deadline to October 1, 2018.
 *Extensions of Deadline for Promulgating Designations for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards*, 82 Fed. Reg. 29,246 (June 21, 2017) (the "Deadline Extension"). Various
 states and non-profit organizations petitioned the United States Court of Appeals for the District
 of Columbia Circuit for review of the Deadline Extension. *See* D.C. Cir. Case Nos. 17-1172, 17 1185, 17-1187.

8 36. After being sued, EPA purported to reverse course by issuing a notice withdrawing 9 the Deadline Extension. See Withdrawal of Extension of Deadline for Promulgating Designations 10 for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards, 82 Fed. Reg. 37,318 (Aug. 10, 11 2017) (the "Withdrawal Notice"). EPA admitted in the Withdrawal Notice that the October 1, 12 2017, deadline once again applied. See id. at 37,319 ("[T]the EPA is withdrawing its prior 13 announced 1-year extension of the deadline for promulgating initial area designations for the 14 2015 ozone NAAQS, and the 2-year deadline for promulgating designations provided in section 15 107(d)(1)(B) of the CAA applies."). Notwithstanding EPA's withdrawal of the extension, the 16 October 1, 2017 deadline passed without EPA's having made any of the required designations. 17 37. On November 6, 2017, EPA issued designations for some areas of the country. See 18 Air Quality Designations for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards, 82 Fed. 19 Reg. 54,232 (Nov. 16, 2017). Notably, EPA's designations were all for "attainment" or 20 "attainment/unclassifiable" areas. Id. at 54,232. EPA made no "nonattainment" designations. 21 "Nonattainment" designations typically trigger state implementation planning to adopt ozone 22 reduction measures to improve air quality and comply with new standards. Regarding the overdue 23 designations, EPA stated "[flor other areas not addressed in this final rule, the EPA is not 24 extending the time provided under section 107(d)(1)(B) of the Clean Air Act but is not yet 25 prepared to issue designations. The agency intends to address these areas in a separate future 26 action." Id. EPA was silent as to how far in the "future" such action could be expected. The areas EPA failed to designate include many densely populated areas, in plaintiff States and elsewhere, 27 28 that suffer from the highest levels of ozone. In fact, more than half of the U.S. population lives in 9

#### Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 10 of 23

the undesignated areas. In some Plaintiff States, such as Connecticut, the entirety of the state remains undesignated. The Clean Air Act contains no provision authorizing EPA to selectively designate only areas that are in attainment with the ozone NAAQS, while indefinitely delaying issuance of other areas that may also be in attainment as well as the crucial nonattainment designations that necessitate remedial action.

6 38. EPA's failure to timely designate nonattainment areas delays the Clean Air Act's 7 requirements for measures to reduce pollution in these areas, thus resulting in further harm to 8 public health. Such delay leads to additional health care expenses, including for the States as 9 administrators of healthcare programs for low-income people and seniors. Premature deaths and 10 missed work and school days resulting from ozone-related health problems also harms the States' 11 interests as administrators of schools and as employers.

12 39. EPA has still not issued all area designations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The 13 agency's failure to issue the designations constitutes a violation of a nondiscretionary duty under 14 section 107(d)(1)(B) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B), to promulgate timely area 15 designations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS, subjecting the agency to suit under section 304(a)(2) of 16 the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C.  $\S$  7604(a)(2). The result is that planning to attain the new standards 17 is not required to begin in nonattainment areas that are without a designation, anti-backsliding 18 protections are not in place, and many millions of people continue to suffer from unhealthy air, 19 contrary to the Clean Air Act's fundamental mandates.

20

#### C. <u>Notice of Violation</u>

40. On October 5 and 6, 2017, the States sent citizen-suit notice letters by certified
mail to the EPA Administrator notifying him of the violation of section 107(d)(1)(B) and of the
States' intention to commence a lawsuit if EPA did not correct the violation within 60 days
(attached).

41. More than 60 days have passed since notice was provided and EPA has not issued
all of the ozone NAAQS designations required under section 107(d)(1)(B).

27

I	Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 11 of 23									
1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Perform a Nondiscretionary Duty									
2	to Issue Area Designations for 2015 Ozone NAAQS)									
3	42. As set forth above, in light of the October 1, 2015 promulgation of the 2015 ozone									
4	NAAQS, EPA had a nondiscretionary duty, pursuant to section 107(d)(1)(B) of the Clean Air									
5	Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B), to issue all area designations for the NAAQS by no later than									
6	October 1, 2017.									
7	43. EPA's failure to issue all area designations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS is a									
8	violation of 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B) that continues and is ongoing to this day.									
9	44. This ongoing violation constitutes a "failure of the Administrator to perform any									
10	act or duty under this chapter which is not discretionary with the Administrator" under 42 U.S.C.									
11	§ 7604(a)(2).									
12	45. EPA's failure to perform its nondiscretionary duty has harmed and continues to									
13	harm the States by delaying the implementation of a more protective ozone standard that will lead									
14	to cleaner air in the States, benefitting the health and welfare of our citizens.									
15	REQUESTED RELIEF									
16	WHEREFORE, the States respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against									
17	defendants as follows:									
18	A. Declare that defendants are in violation of section 107(d)(1)(B) of the Clean Air									
19	Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7607(d)(1)(B), for failing to issue designations for all areas for									
20	the 2015 ozone NAAQS;									
21	B. Enjoin defendants to promptly perform their mandatory duty to promulgate all area									
22	designations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS by a date certain;									
23	C. Award the States the costs of the litigation, including reasonable attorneys' fees,									
24	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(d);									
25	D. Retain jurisdiction over this matter until such time as defendants have fully									
26	complied with their nondiscretionary duty to promulgate area designations for the									
27	2015 ozone NAAQS by a date certain; and									
28	E. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.									
	11 Complaint fan Deplantem en dirivertine Delief									
	Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief									

	Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 F	iled 12/05/17 Page 12 of 23
1	Dated: December 5, 2017	Respectfully submitted,
2		XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California
3		GAVIN Ġ. MCCABE Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4 5		<u>/s/Jonathan Wiener</u> Jonathan Wiener
6		MELINDA F. PILLING TIMOTHY E. SULLIVAN
7		Deputy Attorneys General Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California by
8		and through Attorney General Xavier Becerra and the California Air Resources Board
9		
10		
11	FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK	FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT
12	ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN ATTORNEY GENERAL	GEORGE JEPSEN ATTORNEY GENERAL
13	Morgan A. Costello*	Jill Lacedonia*
14	Brian Lusignan* Assistant Attorneys General	Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General
15	Environmental Protection Bureau The Capitol	P.O. Box 120, 55 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06141-0120
16	Albany, NY 12224 (518) 776-2399	(860) 808-5250
17		
18	FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS	FOR THE STATE OF IOWA
19	LISA MADIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL	THOMAS J. MILLER ATTORNEY GENERAL
20	Gerald T. Karr* Assistant Attorney General	Jacob Larson* Assistant Attorney General
21	69 W. Washington St., 18th Floor Chicago, IL 60602	Office of Iowa Attorney General Hoover State Office Building
22	(312) 814-0660	1305 E. Walnut Street, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319
23 24		(515) 281-5341
24 25		
23 26	* Application for admission <i>pro hac vice</i> to be filed shortly after filing complaint	
27	filed shortly after filing complaint	
28		
		12
		Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

I	Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 F	iled 12/05/17 Page 13 of 23
1	FOR THE STATE OF MAINE	FOR THE STATE OF MARYLAND
2	JANET T. MILLS ATTORNEY GENERAL	BRIAN E. FROSH ATTORNEY GENERAL
3	Gerald D. Reid*	Leah Tulin*
4	Natural Resources Division Chief 6 State House Station	Assistant Attorney General Office of the Maryland Attorney General
5 6	Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 626-8800	200 Saint Paul Place Baltimore, Maryland 21202 (410) 576-6962
7 8	FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, BY AND THROUGH ITS MINNESOTA
° 9	MAURA HEALEY	POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY
10	ATTORNEY GENERAL	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL State of Minnesota
11	Carol Iancu* Assistant Attorney General	Max Kieley*
12	Environmental Protection Division One Ashburton Place, 18 <sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 02108	Assistant Attorney General 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 900 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2127
13	(617) 963-2428	(651) 757-1244
14		<i>Attorney for the State of Minnesota, by and through its Minnesota Pollution Control Agency</i>
15 16	FOR THE STATE OF OREGON	FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
17	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM ATTORNEY GENERAL	JOSH SHAPIRO
18	Paul Garrahan*	ATTORNEY GENERAL
19	Attorney-in-Charge Natural Resources Section	Steven J. Santarsiero* Michael J. Fischer*
20	Oregon Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096	Chief Deputy Attorneys General PA Office of the Attorney General 21 South 12th Street, Third Floor
21 22	(503) 947-4593	Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 560-2380
22		
24		
25		
26		
27	* Application for admission <i>pro hac vice</i> to be filed shortly after filing complaint	
28		
		13 Compleint for Declaration and Iniversities Pel
		Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Rel

I	Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Fil	ed 12/05/17 Page 14 of 23
1		
2	FOR THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND	FOR THE STATE OF VERMONT
3	PETER F. KILMARTIN ATTORNEY GENERAL	THOMAS J. DONOVAN, JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL
4 5	Gregory S. Schultz* Special Assistant Attorney General Rhode Island Department of Attorney General 150 South Main Street	Katherine Pohl (Bar No. 288288) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General
6 7	Providence, RI 02903 (401) 274-4400	109 State Street Montpelier, VT 05609-1001 (802) 828-3186
8	FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
9	ROBERT W. FERGUSON ATTORNEY GENERAL	KARL A. RACINE ATTORNEY GENERAL
10	Katharine G. Shirey*	ROBYN M. BENDER DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
11	Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General	PUBLIC ADVOCACY DIVISION
12	P.O. Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117	Catherine A. Jackson* Chief, Public Integrity Section
13	(360) 586-6769	Office of the Solicitor General Office of the Attorney General
14		441 4th Street, NW, Suite 630S Washington, DC 20001
15		(202) 442-9864
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
24 25	* Application for admission <i>pro hac vice</i> to be filed shortly after filing complaint	
23 26	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
27	SF2017402490	
28	41893175.doc	
		14
		Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 15 of 23

## **ATTACHMENT A**

#### ATTORNEYS GENERAL OF NEW YORK, CALIFORNIA, CONNECTICUT, ILLINOIS, IOWA, MAINE, MASSACHUSETTS, MINNESOTA, BY AND THROUGH ITS MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, OREGON, PENNSYLVANIA, RHODE ISLAND, VERMONT, WASHINGTON, AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 5, 2017

Via Certified Mail

E. Scott Pruitt, Administrator Office of the Administrator (1101A) Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460

Re: Notice of Intent to Sue for Failure to Issue Designations for 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards

Dear Administrator Pruitt:

The States of New York, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Minnesota, by and through its Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont and Washington, the Commonwealths of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia (collectively, "States") hereby provide notice pursuant to Section 304(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), of our intent to commence litigation regarding EPA's failure to timely issue nonattainment designations on the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone promulgated on October 1, 2015. See 65 Fed. Reg. 65,292 (Oct. 26, 2015).

As you are aware, EPA's promulgation of NAAQS sets in motion a process under the statute that several years later results in air quality benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(A), (B) (setting forth deadlines for state and EPA designation of nonattainment areas based on date the NAAQS was promulgated) and § 7410(a)(1) (deadline for states to submit implementation plan revisions tied to NAAQS promulgation date). Once EPA promulgates a NAAQS, states must propose designations of nonattainment areas within their borders within a year. 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(A). EPA must then promulgate the designations (after making any necessary changes) "as expeditiously as practicable, but in no case later than 2 years from the date of promulgation of the new or revised [NAAQS]." Id., § 7407(d)(1)(B). The agency may extend this deadline by up to one year in the event that it has "insufficient information" to promulgate the designations. Id. A delay in any of these steps in the process in turn delays when the public receives the air quality benefits of a stronger standard.

Here, EPA has failed to issue the designations for nonattainment areas for the 2015 ozone standards as required under 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B). Under that statutory provision, the promulgation of the ozone NAAQS on October 1, 2015 started the clock ticking for EPA to issue the designations by October 1, 2017. On June 28, 2017, EPA promulgated a rule giving itself an extension of one year to issue the designations, stating that it had "insufficient information" to complete the designations. 82 Fed. Reg. 29,246 (June 28, 2017) (the "designations delay").

After a collection of states<sup>\*</sup> and environmental organizations challenged EPA's designations delay in court, EPA abruptly reversed course and withdrew the designations delay. 82 Fed. Reg. 37,318 (Aug. 10, 2017). However, EPA was still equivocal on whether the 2015 ozone NAAQS designations would be made by the statutory deadline, noting that although there "may be areas of the United States for which designations could be promulgated" by the deadline, "[t]he Administrator may still determine that an extension of time to complete designations is necessary." 82 Fed. Reg. at 37,319.

As of today, EPA has failed to promulgate nationwide designations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The agency's failure to issue the designations constitutes a violation of a nondiscretionary duty under 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B) to promulgate timely nonattainment designations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS, subjecting the agency to suit under Section 304(a)(2) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2). Accordingly, the States intend to file a lawsuit in federal district court to compel EPA to comply with its obligations under the statute.

If you would like to discuss this matter prior to expiration of the 60-day notice period, you may have your counsel contact us through New York Assistant Attorney General Brian Lusignan at the address below.

Very truly yours,

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN Attorney General of New York

By:

MORGAN COSTELLO BRIAN LUSIGNAN Assistant Attorneys General Environmental Protection Bureau The Capitol Albany, New York 12224 (518) 776-2399 Brian.Lusignan@ag.ny.gov For the State of New York

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>The governmental units challenging the designations delay consisted of the States of New York, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Minnesota, by and through its Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, New Mexico, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Washington, the Commonwealths of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia.

#### FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### XAVIER BECERRA ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA

Robert W. Byrne Senior Assistant Attorney General Gavin G. McCabe Supervising Deputy Attorney General Melinda Pilling Timothy E. Sullivan Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 703-5585

Attorneys for State of California, by and through the California Air Resources Board and Attorney General Xavier Becerra

#### FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

#### GEORGE JEPSEN ATTORNEY GENERAL

Matthew I. Levine Jill Lacedonia Assistant Attorneys General Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 120, 55 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06141-0120 (860) 808-5250

#### FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

#### LISA MADIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

Matthew J. Dunn Gerald T. Karr James P. Gignac Assistant Attorneys General 69 W. Washington St., 18th Floor Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 814-0660

#### FOR THE STATE OF MAINE

JANET T. MILLS ATTORNEY GENERAL

Gerald D. Reid Natural Resources Division Chief 6 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 626-8800

#### FOR THE STATE OF IOWA

#### THOMAS J. MILLER ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jacob Larson Assistant Attorney General Office of Iowa Attorney General Hoover State Office Building 1305 E. Walnut Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319 (515) 281-5341

#### FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

#### MAURA HEALEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Carol Iancu Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division One Ashburton Place, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 02108 (617) 963-2428 FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, BY AND FOR THE STATE OF OREGON THROUGH ITS MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

#### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

State of Minnesota Max Kieley Assistant Attorney General 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 900 St. Paul. Minnesota 55101-2127 (651) 757-1244

Attorney for the State of Minnesota, by and through its Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSH SHAPIRO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Steven J. Santarsiero Michael J. Fischer Chief Deputy Attorneys General PA Office of the Attorney General Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17102 (215) 560-2380

#### FOR THE STATE OF VERMONT

THOMAS J. DONOVAN, JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL

Nicholas F. Persampieri Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 109 State Street Montpelier, VT 05609-1001 (802) 828-3186

#### ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM ATTORNEY GENERAL

Paul Garrahan Attorney-in-Charge Natural Resources Section Oregon Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 947-4593

#### FOR THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

#### PETER F. KILMARTIN ATTORNEY GENERAL

Gregory S. Schultz Special Assistant Attorney General Rhode Island Department of Attorney General 150 South Main Street Providence, RI 02903 (401) 274-4400

#### FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

**ROBERT W. FERGUSON** ATTORNEY GENERAL

Katharine G. Shirey Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 (360) 586-6769

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

#### KARL A. RACINE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Loren L. Alikhan Deputy Solicitor General Office of the Solicitor General Office of the Attorney General 441 4th Street, NW, Suite 600S Washington, DC 20001 (202) 727-6287 Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 21 of 23

### **ATTACHMENT B**

Case 3:17-cv-06936 Document 1 Filed 12/05/17 Page 22 of 23

BRIAN E. FROSII Attorney General



ELIZABETH F. HARRIS Chief Deputy Attorney General

CAROLYN QUATTROCKI Deputy Attorney General

# STATE OF MARYLAND OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NO. 410-576-6311

October 6, 2017

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

FACSIMILE NO. 410-576-7036

E. Scott Pruitt, Administrator Office of the Administrator (1101A) Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460

Re: Ambient Air Quality Standards Notice of Intent to Sue for Failure to Issue Designations for 2015 Ozone National

Dear Administrator Pruitt:

promulgated on October 1, 2015. See 65 Fed. Reg. 65.292 (Oct. 26, 2015). nonattainment designations on the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), of its intent to commence litigation regarding EPA's failure to timely issue Maryland hereby provides notice pursuant to Section 304(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act, 42

promulgation of the new or revised [NAAQS]."  $Id_{...S}$  7407(d)(1)(B). The agency may extend this deadline by up to one year in the event that it has "insufficient information" to promulgate receives the air quality benefits of a stronger standard. the designations. Id. A delay in any of these steps in the process in turn delays when the public changes) "as expeditiously as practicable, but in no case later than 2 years from the date of § 7407(d)(1)(A). EPA must then promulgate the designations (after making any necessary propose designations of nonattainment areas within their borders within a year. 42 U.S.C. revisions tied to NAAQS promulgation date). Once EPA promulgates a NAAQS, states must NAAQS was promulgated) and § 7410(a)(1) (deadline for states to submit implementation plan (setting forth deadlines for state and EPA designation of nonattainment areas based on date the statute that several years later results in air quality benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(A), (B) As you are aware, EPA's promulgation of NAAQS sets in motion a process under the

promulgation of the ozone NAAQS on October 1, 2015 started the clock ticking for EPA to issue the designations by October 1, 2017. On June 28, 2017, EPA promulgated a rule giving itself an standards as required under 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B). Under that statutory provision, the extension of one year to issue the designations, stating that it had "insufficient information" to complete the designations. 82 Fed. Reg. 29,246 (June 28, 2017) (the "designations delay"). Here, EPA has failed to issue the designations for nonattainment areas for the 2015 ozone Administrator E. Scott Pruitt October 6, 2017 Page 2 of 2

complete the designations. 82 Fed. Reg. 29,246 (June 28, 2017) (the "designations delay"). After a collection of states and environmental organizations challenged EPA's designations delay in court, EPA abruptly reversed course and withdrew the designations delay. 82 Fed. Reg. 37,318 (Aug. 10, 2017). However, EPA was still equivocal on whether the 2015 ozone NAAQS designations would be made by the statutory deadline, noting that although there "may be areas of the United States for which designations could be promulgated" by the deadline, "[t]he Administrator may still determine that an extension of time to complete designations is necessary." 82 Fed. Reg. at 37,319.

As of today, EPA has failed to promulgate nationwide designations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The agency's failure to issue the designations constitutes a violation of a nondiscretionary duty under 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B) to promulgate timely nonattainment designations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS, subjecting the agency to suit under Section 304(a)(2) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2). Accordingly, Maryland intends to file a lawsuit in federal district court to compel EPA to comply with its obligations under the statute.

If you would like to discuss this matter prior to expiration of the 60-day notice period, you may have your counsel contact us through Maryland Assistant Attorney General Leah Tulin at the address below.

Very truly yours,

BRIAN E. FROSH Attorney General of Maryland

By:

STEVEN SULLIVAN LEAH TULIN Assistant Attorneys General 200 St. Paul Place, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor Baltimore, Maryland (410) 576-6962 Itulin@oag.state.md.us For the State of Maryland

#### JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case 3:17-cv-06936 Page 1 of 4

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

<b>I.</b> (a)	) PLAINTIFFS				DEFEN	DANTS					
(b)	County of Residence of First (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	Listed Plaintiff ASES)			(IN U.Š. PL NOTE: 1	Residence AINTIFF CA IN LAND CO THE TRACT	a <i>ses on</i> Ondemi	<i>LY)</i> NATION	CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF	7	
(c)	Attorneys (Firm Name, Addres	s, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys	(If Known)					
II.	BASIS OF JURISDIC	<b>FION</b> (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III		TIZENSHI		INCIP	PAL PA	<b>RTIES</b> (Place an "X" in One Bo and One Box for Defend		aintiff
							PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
1	U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	en of This State		1	1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Principal Place of Business In This State	4	4
2	U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another Sta	ate	2	2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	5
		(matche Currensing of Lutites in Item III)			en or Subject of a gn Country	a	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6

#### IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TOF	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	TOP PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY         365 Personal Injury – Product Liability         367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability         368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability         368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability         969 PERSONAL PROPERTY         370 Other Fraud         371 Truth in Lending         380 Other Personal Property Damage         385 Property Damage Product Liability	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC § 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent—Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities– Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities–Other 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS HABEAS CORPUS 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty OTHER 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee- Conditions of Confinement	462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS–Third Party 26 USC § 7609	<ul> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act</li> <li>896 Arbitration</li> <li>899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>	
ACTION	Removed from 3 R State Court A e the U.S. Civil Statute under v ef description of cause:	CLASS ACTION DEMA	ned Another District	(specify) Litigation–Trans		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY (See instru	11 IDGTE		DOCKET NUMBER			
X. DIVISIONAL A Place an "X" in One Box O	SSIGNMENT (Civil Lo nly) SAN FRA	ocal Rule 3-2) NCISCO/OAKLAND	SAN JOSI	E EUREKA-	MCKINLEYVILLE	

California v. Pruitt, addendum to civil cover sheet, Page 1 of 3

#### Addendum to Civil Cover Sheet

#### State of California, et al. v. Scott Pruitt & United States Environmental Protection Agency

#### Section I(c): Attorneys

#### 1. FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Jonathan Wiener Melinda Pilling Timothy E. Sullivan Deputy Attorneys General California Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 703-5969

#### 2. FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Morgan A. Costello Brian Lusignan Assistant Attorneys General Environmental Protection Bureau The Capitol Albany, NY 12224 (518) 776-2399

#### 3. FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Jill Lacedonia Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 120, 55 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06141-0120 (860) 808-5250

#### 4. FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

Gerald T. Karr Assistant Attorney General 69 W. Washington St., 18th Floor Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 814-0660 California v. Pruitt, addendum to civil cover sheet, Page 2 of 3

#### 5. FOR THE STATE OF IOWA

Jacob Larson Assistant Attorney General Office of Iowa Attorney General Hoover State Office Building 1305 E. Walnut Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319 (515) 281-5341

#### 6. FOR THE STATE OF MAINE

Gerald D. Reid Natural Resources Division Chief 6 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 626-8800

#### 7. FOR THE STATE OF MARYLAND

Leah Tulin Assistant Attorney General Office of the Maryland Attorney General 200 Saint Paul Place Baltimore, Maryland 21202 (410) 576-6962

#### 8. FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Carol Iancu Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division One Ashburton Place, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 02108 (617) 963-2428

#### 9. FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, BY AND THROUGH ITS MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

Max Kieley Office of the Attorney General State of Minnesota Assistant Attorney General 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 900 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2127 (651) 757-1244 California v. Pruitt, addendum to civil cover sheet, Page 3 of 3

#### 10. FOR THE STATE OF OREGON

Paul Garrahan Attorney-in-Charge Natural Resources Section Oregon Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 947-4593

#### 11. FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Steven J. Santarsiero Michael J. Fischer Chief Deputy Attorneys General PA Office of the Attorney General 21 South 12th Street, Third Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 560-2380

#### 12. FOR THE STATE OF VERMONT

Katherine Pohl Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 109 State Street Montpelier, VT 05609-1001 (802) 828-3186

#### 13. FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

Katharine G. Shirey Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 (360) 586-6769

#### 14. FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Catherine A. Jackson Chief, Public Integrity Section Office of the Solicitor General Office of the Attorney General 441 4th Street, NW, Suite 630S Washington, DC 20001 (202) 442-9864