



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX**

75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

January 14, 2008

Edy Seehafer
Environmental Coordinator
Bureau of Land Management
Barstow Field Office
2601 Barstow Road
Barstow, CA 92311

Subject: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report and to amend the California Desert Conservation Area Plan in conjunction with the Granite Mountain LLC Wind Farm, San Bernardino County, California.

Dear Ms. Seehafer:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed the December 6, 2007 Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed Granite Mountain LLC Wind Farm in San Bernardino County, California. Our review is pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and our NEPA Review authority under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act.

EPA supports increasing the development of renewable energy resources, as recommended in the National Energy Policy. Using renewable energy resources such as wind power can help the nation meet its energy requirements without generating greenhouse gas emissions. To assist in the scoping process for the project, we have identified several issues for your attention in the preparation of the EIS. We are most concerned about the following issues: air quality, water resources, biological resources, and indirect and cumulative impacts.

We appreciate the opportunity to review this Notice of Intent and are available to discuss our comments. Please send one hard copy of the DEIS and two CD ROM copies to this office at the same time it is officially filed with our Washington D.C. Office. If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 972-3545 or at mcpherson.ann@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

/s/

Ann McPherson
Environmental Review Office

Enclosures: Detailed Comments

US EPA DETAILED COMMENTS ON THE SCOPING NOTICE FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS) AND AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA DESERT CONSERVATION AREA PLAN IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE GRANITE MOUNTAIN LLC WIND FARM, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 14, 2008

Project Description

The proposed project would consist of approximately 27 wind turbines on public and private lands at Granite Mountain, near Apple Valley, in San Bernardino County, California, with a generating capacity of approximately 62.1 to 81 megawatts. Related structures would include access roads, underground transmission lines and fiber-optic cables, an electrical substation, and associated power lines. Operations for the proposed project are expected to last approximately 30 years.

Statement of Purpose and Need

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) should clearly identify the underlying purpose and need to which the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is responding in proposing the alternatives (40 CFR 1502.13). The *purpose* of the proposed action is typically the specific objectives of the activity, while the *need* for the proposed action may be to eliminate a broader underlying problem or take advantage of an opportunity. The purpose and need should be a clear, objective statement of the rationale for the proposed project, as it provides the framework for identifying project alternatives. This section of the DEIS should discuss the proposed project in the context of the larger energy market that this project would serve.

Alternatives Analysis

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the DEIS should rigorously explore and objectively evaluate all reasonable alternatives, including a no action alternative. All reasonable alternatives that fulfill the purpose of the project's purpose and need should be evaluated in detail, including alternatives outside the legal jurisdiction of the BLM (CEQ's Forty Questions¹, #2a and #2b). A robust range of alternatives will include options for avoiding significant environmental impacts. The DEIS should provide a clear discussion of the reasons for the elimination of alternatives which are not evaluated in detail.

Reasonable alternatives should include, but are not necessarily limited to, alternative sites, capacity, and technologies. Alternative power and transmission line routes should also be evaluated, as well as alternative sites and configurations for the access roads. The alternatives analysis should address a full range of reasonable alternatives to meet anticipated energy needs, and should cover conservation and efficiency, as well as other potential technologies for generating electricity.

¹Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's NEPA Regulations, 40 CFR Parts 1500-1508, Federal Register, Vol. 46, No. 55, March 23, 1981.

The environmental impacts of the proposal and alternatives should be presented in comparative form, thus sharply defining the issues and providing a clear basis for choice among options by the decision maker and the public (40 CFR 1502.14). The potential environmental impacts of each alternative should be quantified to the greatest extent possible.

Scope of Analysis

The DEIS should evaluate the proposed wind farm and all connected actions (40 CFR 1508.25). Connected actions are interdependent parts of a larger action and depend on the larger action for their justification. The construction of access roads, transmission lines, and electric substations should be included.

Air Quality

The DEIS should provide a detailed discussion of ambient air conditions (baseline or existing conditions), National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), criteria pollutant nonattainment areas, and potential air quality impacts of the project (including cumulative and indirect impacts) for each fully evaluated alternative. Such an evaluation is necessary to assure compliance with State and Federal air quality regulations, and to disclose the potential impacts from temporary or cumulative degradation of air quality.

The DEIS should describe and estimate air emissions from potential construction and other activities, as well as proposed mitigation measures to minimize those emissions. EPA recommends an evaluation of the following measures to reduce emissions of criteria air pollutants and hazardous air pollutants (air toxics).

Construction Emissions Mitigation

- Reducing emissions of diesel particulate matter (DPM) and other air pollutants by using particle traps and other technological or operational methods. Control technologies such as traps control approximately 80 percent of DPM. Specialized catalytic converters (oxidation catalysts) control approximately 20 percent of DPM, 40 percent of carbon monoxide emissions, and 50 percent of hydrocarbon emissions.
- Ensuring that diesel-powered construction equipment is properly tuned and maintained, and shut off when not in direct use.
- Prohibiting engine tampering to increase horsepower.
- Locating diesel engines, motors, and equipment as far as possible from residential areas and sensitive receptors (schools, daycare centers, and hospitals).
- Requiring low sulfur diesel fuel (<15 parts per million), if available.
- Reducing construction-related trips of workers and equipment, including trucks.
- Leasing or buying newer, cleaner equipment (1996 or newer model), using a minimum of 75 percent of the equipment's total horsepower.
- Using engine types such as electric, liquefied gas, hydrogen fuel cells, and/or alternative diesel formulations.
- Adopting a *Construction Emissions Mitigation Plan* to reduce construction emissions.

- Working with the local air pollution control district(s) to implement the strongest mitigation for reducing construction emissions.

Fugitive Dust Source Controls:

- Stabilize open storage piles and disturbed areas by covering and/or applying water or chemical/organic dust palliative where appropriate. This applies to both inactive and active sites, during workdays, weekends, holidays, and windy conditions.
- Install wind fencing and phase grading operations where appropriate, and operate water trucks for stabilization of surfaces under windy conditions.
- When hauling material and operating non-earthmoving equipment, prevent spillage and limit speeds to 15 miles per hour (mph). Limit speed of earth-moving equipment to 10 mph.

Mobile and Stationary Source Controls:

- Reduce use, trips, and unnecessary idling from heavy equipment.
- Maintain and tune engines per manufacturer's specifications to perform at EPA certification levels and to perform at verified standards applicable to retrofit technologies. Employ periodic, unscheduled inspections to limit unnecessary idling and to ensure that construction equipment is properly maintained, tuned, and modified consistent with established specifications.
- Prohibit any tampering with engines and require continuing adherence to manufacturers recommendations
- Require that leased equipment be 1996 model or newer unless cost exceeds 110 percent or average lease cost. Require 75 percent or more of total horsepower of owned equipment to be used be 1996 or newer models.
- Utilize EPA-registered particulate traps and other appropriate controls where suitable to reduce emissions of diesel particulate matter and other pollutants at the construction site.

Administrative Controls:

- Identify where implementation of mitigation measures is rejected based on economic infeasibility.
- Prepare an inventory of all equipment prior to construction and identify the suitability of add-on emission controls for each piece of equipment before groundbreaking. (Suitability of control devices is based on: whether there is reduced normal availability of the construction equipment due to increased downtime and/or power output, whether there may be significant damage caused to the construction equipment engine, or whether there may be a significant risk to nearby workers or the public.)
- Utilize cleanest available fuel engines in construction equipment and identify opportunities for electrification. Use low sulfur fuel (diesel with 15 parts per million

- or less) in engines where alternative fuels such as biodiesel and natural gas are not possible.
- Develop a construction, traffic and parking management plan that minimizes traffic interference and maintains traffic flow.

Water Resources

The DEIS should describe the original (natural) drainage patterns in the project locale, as well as the drainage patterns of the area during project operations. Also, the DEIS should identify whether any components of the proposed project are within a 50 or 100-year floodplain.

The DEIS should note that, under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA), any construction project disturbing a land area of one or more acres requires a construction storm water discharge permit. The DEIS should document the project's consistency with applicable storm water permitting requirements. Requirements of a storm water pollution prevention plan should be reflected as appropriate in the DEIS. The DEIS should discuss specific mitigation measures that may be necessary or beneficial in reducing adverse impacts to water quality and aquatic resources.

Clean Water Act Section 404

The project applicant should coordinate with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to determine if the proposed project requires a Section 404 permit under the CWA. Section 404 regulates the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the U.S., including wetlands and other *special aquatic sites*.² The DEIS should describe all waters of the U.S. that could be affected by the project alternatives, and include maps that clearly identify all waters within the project area. The discussion should include acreages and channel lengths, habitat types, values, and functions of these waters.

If a permit is required, EPA will review the project for compliance with *Federal Guidelines for Specification of Disposal Sites for Dredged or Fill Materials* (40 CFR 230), promulgated pursuant to Section 404(b)(1) of the CWA ("404(b)(1) Guidelines"). Pursuant to 40 CFR 230, any permitted discharge into waters of the U.S. must be the "*least environmentally damaging practicable alternative*" (LEDPA) available to achieve the project purpose. If, under the proposed project, dredged or fill material would be discharged into waters of the U.S., the DEIS should discuss alternatives to avoid those discharges.

²Those sites identified in 40 CFR 230, Subpart E (i.e., sanctuaries and refuges, wetlands, mud flats, vegetated shallows, coral reefs, and riffle and pool complexes). They are geographic areas, large or small, possessing special ecological characteristics of productivity, habitat, wildlife protection, or other important and easily disrupted ecological values. These areas are generally recognized as significantly influencing or positively contributing to the general overall environmental health or vitality of the entire ecosystem of a region.

If a discharge to waters of the U.S. is anticipated, the DEIS should discuss how potential impacts would be minimized and mitigated. This discussion should include: (a) acreage and habitat type of waters of the U.S. that would be created or restored; (b) water sources to maintain the mitigation area; (c) the revegetation plans, including the numbers and age of each species to be planted, as well as special techniques that may be necessary for planting; (d) maintenance and monitoring plans, including performance standards to determine mitigation success; (e) the size and location of mitigation zones; (f) the parties that would be ultimately responsible for the plan's success; and (g) contingency plans that would be enacted if the original plan fails. Mitigation should be implemented in advance of the impacts to avoid habitat losses due to the lag time between the occurrence of the impact and successful mitigation.

Clean Water Act Section 303(d)

The CWA requires States to develop a list of impaired waters that do not meet water quality standards, establish priority rankings, and develop action plans, called Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), to improve water quality. The DEIS should provide information on CWA Section 303(d) impaired waters in the project area, if any, and efforts to develop and revise TMDLs. It should describe existing restoration and enhancement efforts for those waters, how the proposed project will coordinate with on-going protection efforts, and any mitigation measures that will be implemented to avoid further degradation of impaired waters.

Biological Resources

The DEIS should identify all petitioned and listed threatened and endangered species and critical habitat that might occur within the project area. The document should identify and quantify which species or critical habitat might be directly or indirectly affected by each alternative. We recommend that the DEIS include a biological assessment, as well as a description of the outcome of consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. In an effort to protect wildlife populations and minimize impacts, the BLM may wish to review: 1) the FWS's 2003 *Interim Guidance on Avoiding and Minimizing Wildlife Impacts from Wind Turbines*, and 2) the 2005 GAO Report to Congressional Requesters, *Wind Power: Impacts on Wildlife and Government Responsibilities for Regulating Development and Protecting Wildlife*.

Since the project includes wind generation facilities, potential hazards and impacts to birds and bats should be discussed. A comprehensive monitoring program should be designed to evaluate impacts on bats and avian species. The DEIS should consider whether migratory birds are likely to use the project area and avoid, if possible: 1) areas supporting a high density of wintering or migratory birds, 2) areas with high level of raptor activity, and 3) breeding, wintering or migrating populations of less abundant species which may be sensitive to increased mortality as a result of collision. We suggest that the BLM conduct pre-construction baseline surveys to evaluate the site for its importance to bats and avian species, as well as post-construction surveys to determine the extent of mortalities and to determine the effectiveness of mitigation measures. Surveys should be conducted by a qualified biologist during the appropriate time of year.

Collision risk depends on a range of factors related to species, numbers and behavior, weather conditions, topography, and lighting. The DEIS should identify and describe specific turbine types and their operating characteristics and consider turbine design standards that minimize adverse impacts to wildlife, particularly birds and bats. Consideration should be given to reducing the perching and nesting opportunities, which may help reduce potential collisions.

The DEIS should also address the impacts of noise associated with wind generation facilities, including assessment of noise levels on a variety of species. The DEIS should identify wetland and riparian habitat as well as other unique or important habitat areas that could be affected by the project. If applicable, the DEIS should discuss avoidance, minimization, and mitigation of losses or modifications of habitat and plant/animal species composition, and include a detailed mitigation plan.

Invasive Species

Executive Order 13112, *Invasive Species* (February 3, 1999), mandates that federal agencies take actions to prevent the introduction of invasive species, provide for their control, and minimize the economic, ecological, and human health impacts that invasive species cause. The DEIS should include a project design feature that calls for the development of an invasive plant management plan to monitor and control noxious weeds. Executive Order 13112 also calls for the restoration of native plants and tree species. If the proposed project will entail new landscaping, the DEIS should describe how the project will meet the requirements of Executive Order 13112.

Noise

The DEIS should include an assessment of noise levels from the wind turbines. Decibel levels of the turbines should be evaluated as should the effects of noise levels on a variety of species, as well as effects on property values, residences, and recreational use.

Visual Impacts

Careful attention should be given to how a wind turbine array is set against the landscape. Steps should be taken to minimize the visual impacts and make the wind turbines less obtrusive when possible.

Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

The definition of *cumulative impact* is “the impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency (federal or non-federal) or person undertakes such other actions” (40 CFR Part 1508.7). Per guidance provided by the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), the cumulative impacts analysis should provide the context for understanding the magnitude of the impacts of the alternatives by analyzing the impacts of other

past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects or actions and then considering those cumulative impacts in their entirety (CEQ's Forty Questions, #18). Where adverse cumulative impacts may exist, the DEIS should disclose the parties that would be responsible for avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating those adverse impacts.

The DEIS should focus on resources of concern – those resources that are “at risk” and/or are significantly impacted by the proposed project, before mitigation. In the introduction to the Cumulative Impacts section, identify which resources are analyzed, which ones are not, and why. The analysis for this project should include air quality, biological resources including habitat, visual resources, and cultural resources. For each resource analyzed, the DEIS should:

- Identify the current condition of the resource as a measure of past impacts. For example, the percentage of species habitat lost to date. Include a baseline for the resources or concern with an explanation as to why that baseline was selected.
- Identify the trend in the condition of the resource as a measure of present impacts. For example, the health of the resource is improving, declining, or in stasis.
- Identify all other on-going, planned, and reasonably foreseeable projects in the study area that may contribute to cumulative impacts.
- Identify the future condition of the resource based on an analysis of the cumulative impacts of reasonably foreseeable projects or actions added to existing conditions and current trends.
- Assess the cumulative impacts contribution of the proposed alternatives to the long-term health of the resource, and provide a specific measure for the projected impact from the proposed alternatives.
- Disclose the parties that would be responsible for avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating those adverse impacts.
- Identify opportunities to avoid and minimize impacts, including working with other entities.

As an indirect result of providing additional power, it can be anticipated that this project will allow for development and population growth to occur in those areas that receive the generated electricity. The DEIS should describe the reasonably foreseeable future land use and associated impacts that will result from the additional power supply. The document should provide an estimate of the amount of growth, its likely location, and the biological and environmental resources at risk.

Coordination with Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175

Executive Order 13175, *Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments* (November 6, 2000), was issued in order to establish regular and meaningful consultation and collaboration with tribal officials in the development of federal policies that have tribal implications, and to strengthen the United States government-to-government relationships with Indian tribes.

The DEIS should describe the process and outcome of government-to-government consultation between the BLM and each of the tribal governments within the project area, issues that were raised (if any), and how those issues were addressed in the selection of the proposed alternative.

National Historic Preservation Act and Executive Order 13007

Historic properties under the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) are properties that are included in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) or that meet the criteria for the National Register. Section 106 of the NHPA requires a federal agency, upon determining that activities under its control could affect historic properties, consult with the appropriate State Historic Preservation Officer/Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO/THPO).

Executive Order 13007, *Indian Sacred Sites* (May 24, 1996), requires federal land managing agencies to accommodate access to, and ceremonial use of, Indian sacred sites by Indian Religious practitioners, and to avoid adversely affecting the physical integrity of such sacred sites. It is important to note that a sacred site may not meet the National Register criteria for a historic property and that, conversely, a historic property may not meet the criteria for a sacred site.

The DEIS should address the existence of Indian sacred sites in the project area. It should address Executive Order 13007, distinguish it from Section 106 of the NHPA, discuss how the BLM will avoid adversely affecting the physical integrity of sacred sites, if they exist, and address other requirements of the Order. The DEIS should provide a summary of all coordination with Tribes and with the SHPO/THPO, including identification of NRHP eligible sites, and development of a Cultural Resource Management Plan.

Environmental Justice

Executive Order 12898, *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations* (February 11, 1994), directs federal agencies to identify and address disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations, allowing those populations a meaningful opportunity to participate in the decision-making process. Guidance³ by CEQ clarifies the terms low-income and minority population (which includes American Indians) and describes the factors to consider when evaluating disproportionately high and adverse human health effects.

The DEIS should include an evaluation of environmental justice populations within the geographic scope of the project. If such populations exist, the DEIS should address the potential for disproportionate adverse impacts to minority and low-income populations, and the approaches used to foster public participation by these populations. Assessment of the project's

³Environmental Justice Guidance under the National Environmental Policy Act, Appendix A (Guidance for Federal Agencies on Key Terms in Executive Order 12898), CEQ, December 10, 1997.

impact on minority and low-income populations should reflect coordination with those affected populations.

Coordination with Land Use Planning Activities

The DEIS should discuss how the proposed action would support or conflict with the objectives of federal, state, tribal or local land use plans, policies and controls in the project area. The term “land use plans” includes all types of formally adopted documents for land use planning, conservation, zoning and related regulatory requirements. Proposed plans not yet developed should also be addressed if they have been formally proposed by the appropriate government body in a written form (CEQ's Forty Questions, #23b).

Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Waste

The DEIS should address potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of hazardous waste from construction and operation. The document should identify projected hazardous waste types and volumes, and expected storage, disposal, and management plans. The DEIS should also discuss and characterize all waste generated from both plant operations and from associated activities such as vehicle maintenance, etc. The DEIS should discuss the environmental impacts associated with management and disposal of this waste including the projected amount annually, where disposal will occur, regulatory requirements associated with storage and disposal, and whether it would be considered hazardous under Federal, or State law. Appropriate mitigation should be evaluated, including measures to minimize the generation of hazardous waste (i.e., hazardous waste minimization). Alternate industrial processes using less toxic materials should be evaluated as mitigation. This potentially reduces the volume or toxicity of hazardous materials requiring management and disposal as hazardous waste.

Mitigation and Pollution Prevention

The DEIS should evaluate the feasibility of adopting mitigation to avoid, reduce or compensate for adverse environmental impacts from construction and operation. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) does not require that an impact be “significant” before mitigation can be presented in an EIS. “All relevant, reasonable mitigation measures that could improve the project are to be identified. . . . Mitigation measures must be considered even for impacts that by themselves would not be considered ‘significant.’ Once the proposal itself is considered as a whole to have significant effects . . . mitigation measures must be developed where it is feasible to do so.” (CEQ's Forty Questions, #19a)

CEQ also issued guidance⁴ on integrating pollution prevention measures in NEPA documents. Many strategies can reduce pollution and protect resources, including using fewer toxic inputs, altering manufacturing and facility maintenance processes, and conserving energy.

⁴Memorandum to Heads of Federal Departments and Agencies Regarding Pollution Prevention and the National Environmental Policy Act, CEQ, January 12, 1993.

Consistent with CEQ's guidance, we recommend presenting all reasonable mitigation and pollution prevention measures.