This checklist is intended solely to assist inspectors in structuring an inspection and to help them ensure that common regulatory issues are not overlooked. It is not necessarily intended to represent an accurate record of the inspector's findings or observations. Notations and other comments on the checklist are not always to be viewed as direct observations by the inspector or actual fact, but may instead reflect claims by facility personnel or tentative responses which require further investigation for confirmation.

	REVISED EPCRA/DATA QUALITY INSPECTION CHECKLIST
	Name of Facility:
	Chemicals of Interest:
	Reporting Year(s) of Interest:
I.	General Information Regarding Facility
	<ol> <li>Describe the industrial process(es) performed at this facility during the reporting year(s) of interest.</li> </ol>
	(attach process diagram(s) if possible)
	2. Identify which of the following are utilized by the facility in its industrial processing or chemical handling areas.
	Floor Drains Other Drains for Liquids Exhaust Fans Hoods Vents Ducts Sumps Open tanks, vats, etc. Slop Sinks

3.	How many full-time equivalent employees did the facility have during the reporting year(s) of interest?
4.	Have the facility's process operations changed significantly during the reporting year(s) of interest? (include equipment, production rates, product substitution or elimination, etc.)? yes no
If	yes, describe;
5.	Has the facility implemented any new treatment technologies during the reporting year(s) of interest? yes no
If	yes, describe:
6.	Has the facility implemented any new pollution prevention initiatives during the reporting year(s) of interest? yes no
If	yes, describe:

7.	Briefly describe the facility's housekeeping practic particularly in industrial processi receiving/unloading, storage and pollution control are
	5, a 1, a
-	
Gene	ral Information Regarding Facility's Form R's
1.	For what years did the facility submit a Form R?
2.	Did the facility submit a Form R every year that it
	required to? yes no
If r	o, explain:
	, -
3.	Did the facility have the same personnel available
	complete all of its Form R's? yes no
If n	o, describe changes:

interest.

Chemical Name (* if mixture)			
Year	Year	Year	
supplier no	lity received and provotification material mixtures or in trade N/A	for all Section 313	

7.	Did the facility submit any revised Form R reports for the reporting year(s) of interest? yes no
If y	es, answer questions 8 and 9.
8.	List the chemical(s) which had revised Form R's submitted.
9.	Describe below the reason(s) that the facility submitted revised Form R's.
10.	Based on findings of this inspection, does the facility
	need to submit a revised Form R? yes no
11.	Did the facility use the alternate threshold provision for any of the chemicals or years of interest (i.e., combined quantity of amounts shown in Sections 8.1 thru 8.7 is less than 500 lb)? yes no
If y	es, was the facility correct in its use of this provision?
	If no, describe:

III.	Use Threshold	<u>Determination</u>		
Chem	.cal Name			Year
1.	How is this c	hemical used at the	facility? (check	all that apply)
	Also includes byproduct or	s coincidental prod	uction of listed of manufacture, p	rt a listed chemical chemical (i.e., as processing, otherwise 5,000 lb threshold)
	Produce	for onsite use or p	rocessing	
	Produce	for sale or distribu	ation in commerce	
	Import f	or onsite use or pro	ocessing	
	Import f	or sale or distribut	cion in commerce	
	Produced	as by-product or in	npurity (% =)	of process
	By-produ	ct of waste treatmen	nt	
	Includes prep of listed che General cate	aration (can produce mical after its manu egories include ( ), formulation compo	change in physical afacture for distr chemical reactan	mical into a product. al or chemical state) ribution in commerce. t (raw materials, ponent and repackage.
	results	in the manufacture Examples include fe	of another chem	emical reaction that ical substance or a erials, intermediates
	product Examples	mixture) for the p	urpose of enhanc: lyes, initiators,	ded to a product (or ing its performance. solvents, inhibitors, me retardants.
	Article	Component - A list	ed chemical that	becomes an integral

	component of an article.
	Repackage - Processing or preparation of a listed chemical (or product mixture) for distribution in commerce in a different form, state or quantity.
 dist	rwise Use - Not intentionally incorporated into a product ributed in commerce. Includes chemical processing aid, manufacturing and ancillary use. (10,000 lb threshold)
_	Chemical Processing Aid - A chemical that is added to a reaction mixture to aid in the manufacturing or synthesis of another chemical substance but is not intended to remain in or become part of the product or product mixture. Examples include solvents, catalysts, inhibitors, initiators, reaction terminators and solution buffers.
	Manufacturing Aid - A chemical that aids the manufacturing process but does not become part of the resulting product and is not added to the reaction mixture during the manufacture or synthesis of another chemical substance. Examples include lubricants, metalworking fluids, coolants, refrigerants and hydraulic fluids.
_	Ancillary or other use - A chemical that is used for purposes other than aiding chemical processing or manufacturing. Examples include cleaners, de-greasers, lubricants, fuels, chemicals for treating wastes and solvents in paints or other coatings that volatilize.
	Further management of chemical containing waste received from off-site (includes disposal, treatment, destruction and stabilization).
2.	Is the chemical subject to any of the following exemptions (check all that apply)
	Contained in an article (article must be a pre-manufactured item (i.e., manufactured prior to arrival at facility) that is formed to a specific shape or design during its manufacture and whose end-use functions depend upon its shape or design. It must not release a listed chemical under normal conditions).
	Concentration of chemical below de minimis level (0.1 % for carcinogens, 1.0 % for others)
	Laboratory chemical
	Structural component
	Routine janitorial/facility grounds maintenance
	Personal employee use
	Motor vehicle maintenance

	Intake water co	omponent	
3.	amount manufac		sed by the facility for the otherwise used during the l that apply)
	Purchase/invent	cory records	Assumption w/o calculations
	Emission factor	rs .	Other (specify)
	Mass balance		Other (Specify)
	MSDSs from supp	oliers	
	Process recipes	3	
	Monitoring data	ı	
	Production data	ı	
Decarint	and any difference mean that the	ent quantities that the	e reporting year of interest e inspector computed (blanks the facility's estimate). tached to report.
	lanufactured	ractificy & Escimace	Inspector's Estimate
	rocessed		
	therwise Used		
5.	Based on the in		hemical on-site at any time?  ring this inspection does it imate? yes no
	If no, describe	ž:	

7.	the	respect to the threshold determination for this chemical did inspector identify any of the following problems? (check as ssary)
		Overlooked use of chemical
		Mis-classified use of chemical
		<pre>Incorrectly applied/interpreted exemption(s)</pre>
		Failure to use best or all available data
		Required/supporting documentation was missing
		Calculation error
		Supplier information was missing
		Calculated quantity of parent metal not metal compound
		Use threshold determination not based on throughput but amount purchased or released
		Calculations based on TCLP not total concentration of metals
Comments	(note	any disagreements):
		<del>_</del>

## IV. Release Estimates

Chem	nical Name	Year
1.	Type of Release:	
2.	Is documentation of release estimates, including monit available? yes no	coring data,
3.	What was the method of estimating this release? (see t	cable below)

		Release Category			
Method	Code	Air Fugitive	Air Point	Water Release	Land Release
Monitoring Data or Measurements	M	* * *	* * *	***	**
Mass Balance	С	* *	*	**	***
Emission Factors	E	***	**	N/A	N/A
Other Approaches including Engineering Estimates/Assumptions	0	*	*	*	*

(\*\*\* indicates most preferred; \* indicates least preferred)

	4.	Which of the following material does the facility have and use for purposes of estimating releases (check all that apply)
-		Engineering calculations
		Stack testing
		Outfall monitoring
		Hazardous waste analysis
		Other monitoring data
		Manufacturer's estimates of treatment efficiencies
		RCRA manifests
_		AP-42 or other EPA emission factors

	Non-published emission factors				
	Other trade association chemical specific or non specific factors				
	Spill/release event records				
	Inventory records				
	Process feed/utilization rates				
	Volatilization rates				
	Solubilities				
	Raoult's Law constants				
	Henry's Law constants				
5.	What was the quantity of release estimated by the facility				
6.	What was the method preferred by the inspector given the circumstances at this facility?				
7.	If inspector preferred a different method of release estimatio indicate the quantity of release that was computed using tha method and attach calculations to report.				
8.	Did the inspector identify any of the following problems relating to the facility's method of estimating this release? (check as necessary)				
	Source of release not considered (i.e., vent, duct, drain, stack, pumps, valves, flanges, volatilization from open units, stormwater runoff, treatment or other residues, accidental spills, etc.)				
	All existing data were not utilized				
	Recycled material assumed to be a release				
	Quantity based on metal compound not parent metal				
	Mistakenly applied de minimus rule				

		Calculation error
		Neutralized acid mis-reported
		Questionable emission factors assumed
		Questionable treatment efficiency assumed
		Mis-classifying fugitive and stack emissions
		On-site treatment processes not included in release calculations
		Other
Comments	(note	any disagreements):

## V. Off Site Transfers

Chem	nical Name	Year		
1.	Type of Off Site Transfer:			
2.	Is documentation of estimates of off site transfers, monitoring or other data, available? yes no	including		
3.	What was the method of estimating this off site transfer? (so methods shown in table in previous section)	me possible		
4.	What was the quantity of this off site transfer estimated facility	ted by the		
5.	What was the method preferred by the inspector given the ciat this facility?	rcumstances		
6.	If the inspector preferred a different method of estimating this off site transfer indicate the quantity that resulted using that method and attach necessary documentation to report.			
7.	Did the inspector identify any of the following problems rela facility's method of estimating this off site transfer? necessary)	_		
	Source of off site transfer not considered (OST's shown any material sent off site for purposes of waste disposal, recycling, or energy recovery after any on treatment, recycling or removal is completed)	treatment,		
	All existing data were not utilized			
	Quantity based on metal compound not parent metal			
	Mistakenly applied de minimus rule			
	Calculation error			
	Neutralized acid mis-reported			
	Questionable treatment efficiency assumed			
Comme	ments (note any disagreements):			

WERE THE FOLLOWING OBSERVED DURING THE FACILITY TOUR (CHECK AS NECESSARY)
Floor drains/sumps in chemical use area
Exhaust fans in chemical use area
Vents/ducts in chemical use area
Open tanks/vats/drums containing volatile material
Chemicals not reported
Excess chemicals in storage (i.e., inconsistent with facility's usage of reported 'maximum on site at any one time')
Waste generation not reported
Releases not reported
Chemical use inconsistent with Form R report
Spills, leaks, unexpected releases
On site recycling
Poor housekeeping
Comments: