```
MATTHEW J. MCKEOWN
1
   Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division
   Washington, DC 20530
3
   ANGELA O'CONNELL
   Senior Counsel
   Environmental Enforcement Section
   United States Department of Justice
   301 Howard Street, Suite 1050
   San Francisco, California 94105
   Tel: (415) 744-6485
   Fax: (415) 744-6476
7
   E-mail: angela.o'connell@usdoj.gov
8
   McGREGOR W. SCOTT
   United States Attorney E. ROBERT WRIGHT
  Assistant United States Attorney
   501 I Street, Suite 10-100
  Sacramento, California 95814
   Tel: (916) 554-2700
  Fax: (916) 554-2900
12
  Attorneys for the Plaintiff United States
   (Additional Attorneys Listed on Following Page)
14
15
                       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16
                    FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17
18
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and the
19
   PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex
   rel., the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH
20
   AND GAME, the CALIFORNIA REGIONAL
   WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, SAN
                                                      Civil Action No.
21
   FRANCISCO BAY REGION, and the
22
   CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
                                                    ) COMPLAINT
   CONTROL BOARD, LAHONTAN REGION
23
24
                       Plaintiffs,
25
                       v.
26
    KINDER MORGAN ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.,
27
   and SFPP L.P.,
28
                       Defendants.
```

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California
2	ANITA E. RUUD
3	Deputy Attorney General Office of the California Attorney General
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102
5	Tel: (415) 703-5533 Fax: (415) 703-5480
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Regional Water
7	Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region and California Regional Water Quality
8	Control Board, Lahontan Region
9	KATHERINE VERRUE-SLATER Staff Counsel III
10	California Department of Fish and Game Office of Spill Prevention and Response
11	1700 K Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95814
12	Tel: (916) 324-9813 Fax: (916) 324-5662
13	STEPHEN SAWYER
14	Assistant Chief Counsel California Department of Fish and Game
15	Office of Spill Prevention and Response 1700 K Street, Suite 250
16	Sacramento, California 95814 Tel: (916) 324-9812
17	Fax: (916) 324-5662
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff California Department of Fish and Game
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Complaint IIS et al. v. KMEP LP et al 2

The United States of America, by the authority of the Attorney General of the United

States and acting at the request of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"),

the Department of the Interior ("DOI") (acting by and through the United States Fish and

Wildlife Service), and the People of the State of California ex rel. California Department of Fish

and Game, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, and

the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Lahontan Region (collectively the "State"),

file this Complaint to enforce both State and Federal environmental protection statutes and

regulations, against Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, LP., and SFPP, LP (hereinafter referred to

collectively as "Kinder Morgan" or "Defendants"), and allege as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action brought pursuant to the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq., the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 2701 et seq; Sections 9(a)(1) and 11(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act ("ESA"), 16 U.S.C. §§ 1538(a)(1), 1540(a)(1); the Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act, California Government Code §§ 8670.1 et seq., the California Fish and Game Code, and the Porter Cologne Water Quality Control Act, California Water Code §§ 13000 et seq. Plaintiffs seek civil penalties, injunctive relief, response costs and -natural resource damages as a result of the violations alleged herein

II. JURISDICTION, VENUE AND NOTICE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345, 1355 and 1395(a); Sections 309(b) and 311(b)(7)(E) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(b) and 1321(b)(7)(E); and Section 1017(b) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. §2717(b); Sections 11(c) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1540(c) Authority to bring this action is vested in the United States Department of Justice by 28 U.S.C. §§ 516 and 519, and 33 U.S.C. § 1366.
- 3. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the State's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because the State's claims are so related to the United States' claims that they form part of the same case or controversy. This Court also has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the State's OPA claim under 33 U.S.C. § 2717(b).

Complaint, <u>U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al.</u> - 3

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

4. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1395(a); Sections 309(b) and 311(b)(7)(E) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(b) and 1321(b)(7)(E), inasmuch as it is the judicial district in which the Defendants do business and in which a substantial portion of the activities alleged herein occurred.

III. PARTIES

5. Plaintiff United States is a sovereign nation.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

- 6. Plaintiff California Department of Fish and Game ("CDFG"), is the trustee, on behalf of the people of the State of California, for fish, wildlife and their habitat, and is responsible for the enforcement of the California Fish and Game Code. The CDFG has been designated as a State Trustee for natural resources pursuant to 1006 (b)(3) of OPA and subpart G of the National Contingency Plan. The CDFG's Office of Spill Prevention and Response is also responsible for the enforcement of the Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act (Government Code Section 8670.1 et seq.). The CDFG seeks civil penalties pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 5650.1 and California Government Code Section 8670.66(a)(3). Additionally, the CDFG seeks response costs and natural resource damages pursuant to Section 1002 of OPA, California Government Code Section 8670.56.5 (h), and California Fish and Game Code Sections 2014, 5655, 12015 and 12016. Plaintiffs California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, and California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region ("Regional Boards") are California state agencies responsible for the water quality of the waters of California, and responsible for the enforcement of the Porter-Cologne Water Pollution Control Act, Water Code Sections 13000 et seq. The Regional Boards seek penalties for damage to the waters of California pursuant to California Water Code Section 13350.
- 7. Defendant Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. ("KMEP") is a limited partnership with its principal place of business in Delaware. Defendant SFPP, L.P., ("SFPP") is organized under Delaware law and is an operating limited partnership of KMEP.

IV. FACTS GIVING RISE TO LIABILITY

Complaint, U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al. - 4

7

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

24 25

> 27 28

- Defendants operate underground oil pipelines and other onshore facilities 8. throughout the United States, including the state of California. One underground pipeline operated by SFPP is the Line Section 12, which is partially located in Solano County, California.
- 9. On or about April 27, 2004, approximately 2,947 barrels of diesel fuel discharged from the Defendants' Line Section 12 pipeline into the Suisun Marsh and adjoining shorelines, in Solano County, California (the "Suisun Discharge"). The diesel fuel was an "oil" and a "pollutant" within the meaning of the Clean Water Act.
- 10. The Suisun Discharge was not authorized under the Clean Water Act, or any other federal, state, or local government law, regulation, or ordinance. The discharge of oil occurred as a result of the conduct, acts and omissions of Defendants, and each of them, and their employees, agents or other individuals while acting within the course and scope of their employment for such Defendants.
- 11. The Suisun Marsh is a navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7) The waters of Suisun Marsh are waters of the state as defined in California Water Code Section 13050(e).
- 12. The Suisun Discharge was a discharge of oil in a "quantity as may be harmful" within the meaning of CWA Section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(2) and 40 C.F.R. § 110.5.
- 13. The oil from the Suisun Discharge caused injuries to natural resources in the Suisun Marsh, including injuries to salt marsh habitat and associated wildlife, including shorebirds and Salt Marsh Harvest Mice. Salt Marsh Harvest Mice are listed as an endangered species under the ESA and the California Endangered Species Act, California Fish and Game Code §§ 2050, et seq., and at 50 C.F.R. § 17.11(h).
- 14. The Defendants owned or operated the Line Section 12 pipeline at the time of said discharge of oil.
- 15. The Line Section 12 pipeline is an "onshore facility" within the meaning of the 311(a)(10) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(10), and Section 1001(24) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(24)

- 16. On or about February 7, 2005, approximately 1,831 barrels of oil discharged from the Defendants' Line Section 42 pipeline, reaching the Oakland Inner Harbor, near Oakland, in Alameda County, California (the "Oakland Discharge").
- 17. The Oakland Inner Harbor is a navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7) The waters of Oakland Inner Harbor are waters of the state as defined in California Water Code section 13050(e).
- 18. The Oakland Discharge was a discharge of oil in a "quantity as may be harmful" within the meaning of CWA Section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(2) and 40 C.F.R. Part 110.
- 19. The Defendants owned or operated the Line Section 42 pipeline at the time of said discharge of oil.
- 20. The Line Section 42 pipeline is an "onshore facility" within the meaning of the 311(a)(10) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(10), and Section 1001(24) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(24).
- 21. On or about April 1, 2005, approximately 300 gallons of oil discharged from Defendants' Line Section 12 pipeline into Summit Creek and other waters of the United States in the Donner Lake watershed and adjoining shorelines, near Truckee, in Placer County, California (the "Donner Discharge"). The oil was an "oil" and a "pollutant" within the meaning of the Clean Water Act.
- 22. Summit Creek is a navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 162(7). The waters of Summit Creek are waters of the state as defined in California Water Code section 13050(e).
- 23. The Donner Discharge caused injuries to natural resources in and along Summit Creek, including oiling of rocky stream habitat and associated benthic organisms.
- 24. The Donner Discharge was a discharge of oil in a "quantity as may be harmful" within the meaning of CWA Section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(2) and 40 C.F.R. Part 110.
- 25. The Defendants owned or operated the Line Section 12 pipeline at the time of the Donner Discharge.

1	26. The Line Section 12 pipeline is an "onshore facility" within the meaning of the
2	311(a)(10) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(10), and Section 1001(24) of OPA, 33 U.S.C.
3	§ 2701(24).
4	V. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>
5	<u>First Cause of Action:</u> <u>Civil Penalties Under the CWA</u>
6	27. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
7	28. Defendants' discharges of oil as alleged herein violates Section 311(b)(3) of the
8	CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a) and 1321(b)(3), and pursuant to Section 311(b)(7)(A) of the CWA,
9	33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(7)(A), subject Defendants to a civil penalty of up to \$1,100 per barrel of oil
10	discharged.
11 12	Second Cause of Action: <u>Injunctive Relief Under the CWA</u>
13	29. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
14	30. Defendants' discharges of oil as alleged herein violates Section 301(a) of the
15	CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), , and subjects Defendants to injunctive relief pursuant to Section
16	309(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(b). Accordingly, pursuant to Section 309(b) of the CWA,
17	33 U.S.C. § 1319(b), the United States, acting on behalf of EPA, is entitled to appropriate
18	injunctive relief.
19	<u>Third Cause of Action:</u> <u>Endangered Species Act Penalties</u>
20 21	Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
21	32. Defendants' Suisun Discharge of oil as alleged herein resulted in the "take" of a
23	species in violation of Section 9(a)(1) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1538(a)(1), and subjects
24	Defendants to a civil penalty pursuant to Section 11(a) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(a).
25	Fourth Cause of Action: Natural Resource Damages under OPA
26	33. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
27	·
28	
	Complaint, <u>U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al.</u> - 7

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

- 34. "Oil" as defined in section 1001(23) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), was discharged during the Suisun Discharge into the Suisun Marsh and adjoining shorelines, in Solano County, California.
- 35. "Natural resources," as that term is defined in section 1001(20) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(20), held in trust by the state and federal trustees, have been injured, destroyed, or lost as the result of the discharge of oil from the Defendants' Line 12 pipeline into navigable waters, within the meaning of 33 U.S.C. § 2702(b)(2).
- 36. Under section 1002 of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2702, Defendants are responsible for the pipeline from which oil was discharged into navigable waters or adjoining shorelines and are liable for damages caused, thereby including but not limited to damages for injury to, destruction of, loss of, or loss of use of natural resources and the reasonable costs of assessing the damages.

Fifth Cause of Action: Damages under Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act - Government Code Section 8670.56.5(h)

- 37. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 38. The waters of the Suisun Marsh and Oakland Inner Harbor are "marine waters," as defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(i).
- 39. The petroleum product discharged in the Suisun Discharge and the Oakland Discharge was "oil" as defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(n).
- 40. The Suisun Discharge releasing over approximately 2,947 barrels of oil into the Suisun Marsh constitutes a "spill" or "discharge" of oil as these terms are defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3 (aa).
- 41. The unauthorized spill or discharge from the Defendants' Line Section 42 pipeline, which released approximately 1,831 barrels of oil and resulted in greater than 1 barrel entering Oakland Inner Harbor, constitutes a "spill" or "discharge" of oil as these terms are defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(aa).

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

26 27

28

- 42. The Line Section 12 pipeline and the Line Section 42 pipeline are "marine facilities" as defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(g)(1).
- 43. The Defendants are each a "responsible party" as defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(w).
- 44. The Suisun Discharge constitutes a "discharge of oil into or onto marine waters" as those terms are defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(i), (n) and (aa).
- 45. The Oakland Discharge constitutes a "discharge of oil into or onto marine waters" as those terms are defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(i), (n) and (aa).
- 46. The State has incurred costs and damages, including damages for injuries to the natural resources under its trusteeship, as a result of the discharge or leaking of oil into or onto marine waters.
- 47. Defendants and each of them are strictly liable under California Government Code Section 8670.56.5(f) and (h) without regard to fault for all damages resulting from the Suisun Discharge and the Oakland Discharge, including, but not limited to: damages for injury to, destruction of, loss of, or loss of use and enjoyment of natural resources; injury to real or personal property; loss of taxes; response costs and costs of assessing natural resource damages; and for attorneys fees, costs of suit and expert witnesses.

Sixth Cause of Action: Damages under California Fish and Game Code Section 2014

- 48. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 49. Plaintiff CDFG is informed and believes, and based on such information and belief alleges that the oil discharged by Defendants and each of them has caused and will continue to cause the taking and destruction of birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, or amphibian protected by the laws of the State of California, within the meaning of California Fish and Game Code Section 2014.
 - 50. Defendants' discharges of oil are unlawful in that:

	a.	Oil is a substance or material deleterious to fish, plant life, or bird life and
was deposit	ted in, per	mitted to pass into, or placed where it could pass into waters of the state, a
ziolation of	f Californi	a Fish and Game Code Section 5650

- By virtue of the acts alleged above, plaintiff CDFG is informed and believes, and based on such information and belief alleges that Defendants and each of them unlawfully or negligently discharged oil that proximately caused, and will continue to cause, the taking or destruction of birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, or amphibian protected by the laws of the
- Defendants and each of them are jointly and severally liable under California Fish and Game Code § 2014 for all detriment proximately caused by the taking or destruction of birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, or amphibian protected by the laws of the State of California.

Damages under California Fish and Game Code Section 12015

- Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- By virtue of the Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge and the Donner Discharge, Defendants and each of them are responsible for polluting, contaminating, or obstructing waters of the State or depositing or discharging materials threatening to pollute. contaminate, or obstruct waters of the State, to the detriment of fish, plant, bird, or animal life in
- Defendants and each of them are responsible for removing the materials placed in waters of the State and removing the materials threatening to pollute, contaminate, or obstruct waters of the State, or for paying the costs of removal incurred by CDFG.
- CDFG has incurred costs in connection with the removal of the materials placed in waters of the State by Defendants.
- 56. Defendants and each of them are liable under California Fish and Game Code Section 12015 to the CDFG for all reasonable costs incurred in removal of materials.

28

26

3

5

7

8

10

11

- 12
- 13
- 14

15

. .

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

Eighth Cause of Action: Damages under California Fish and Game Code Section 12016

- 57. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 58. By virtue of Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge and the Donner Discharge, Defendants and each of them discharged or deposited oil into the waters of the State.
- 59. Oil is a substance or material deleterious to fish, plant, bird, or animal life or their habitat within the meaning of California Fish and Game Code Section 12016(a).
- 60. Plaintiff CDFG is informed and believes, and based on such information and belief alleges that the petroleum for which Defendants and each of them is responsible has caused damage to fish, plant, bird, or animal life and their habitats.
- 61. Defendants and each of them are liable under California Fish and Game Code Section 12016 to the CDFG for all actual damages to fish, plant, bird, or animal life and/or their habitat.
- 62. Defendants and each of them are liable under California Fish and Game Code Section 12016 to the CDFG for all reasonable costs incurred in cleaning up the oil or abating its effects.

Ninth Cause of Action: Damages under California Fish and Game Code 5655

- 63. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 64. The oil discharged from the Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge and the Donner Discharge was "petroleum" or a "petroleum product" as defined in California Fish and Game Code Section 5655(d).
- 65. By virtue of the acts alleged above, Defendants and each of them discharged or deposited petroleum and/or a petroleum product into the waters of the State.
- 66. Pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 5655, the CDFG may clean up or abate or cause to be cleaned up or abated, the effects of any petroleum or petroleum product

Complaint, <u>U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al.</u> - 11

$_{1}$	deposited or discharged in the waters of this state or order any person responsible for the deposit	
- 1		
- 1	or discharge to clean up the petroleum or petroleum product or abate the effects of the deposit or	
3	discharge, and recover any costs incurred as a result of the cleanup or abatement from the	
4	responsible party.	
۱ ۔	67 Plaintiff CDEC has incorred against advite the alcomor or shotoment of the	

- 67. Plaintiff CDFG has incurred costs associated with the cleanup or abatement of the Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge, and the Donner Discharge.
- 68. Defendants and each of them are liable under California Fish and Game Code Section 5655 to the CDFG for all reasonable costs incurred in cleaning up the petroleum or abating its effects.

Tenth Cause of Action: State Penalties under California Government Code Section 8670.66(a)(3)

- 69. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 70. By virtue of the acts alleged above, Defendants acted intentionally or negligently and those intentional or negligent acts resulted in the unauthorized discharges of oil into marine waters.
- 71. Defendants are liable for penalties of not less than \$25,000 or more than \$500,000 for each negligent or intentional discharge into marine waters, pursuant to California Government Code Section 8670.66(a)(3).

Eleventh Cause of Action: State Penalties under California Fish and Game Code Section 5650.1

- 72. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 73. California Fish and Game Code Section 5650 prohibits the deposit or placement of any petroleum or petroleum product where it can pass into the waters of the State.
- 74. Defendants, through the Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge and the Donner Discharge, caused petroleum and/or petroleum product to be deposited and placed where it can pass into the waters of the State.

Complaint, U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al. - 12

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

75. Pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 5650.1, Defendants are subject to up to \$25,000 in penalties for each act of depositing or placing petroleum or petroleum product where it can pass into the waters of the State according to proof.

4

<u>Twelfth Cause of Action:</u> State Civil Penalties under California Water Code Section 13350

5 6

76. Plaintiffs Regional Boards refer to and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.

8

7

77. California Water Code Section 13350(a)(3) prohibits the discharge of any oil or any residuary product of petroleum in or on any waters of the State, except in accordance with waste discharge requirements.

10 11

78. Defendants, through the Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge and the Donner Discharge, caused such discharges of oil into and on waters of the State in violations of California Water Code Section 13350(a)(3).

13 14

15

16

12

79. California Water Code section 11350(d) provides for civil liability for violations of Water Code Section 13350 on a per gallon basis not to exceed twenty dollars for each gallon of waste discharged. Defendants are liable for civil penalties to the Regional Boards for such discharges pursuant to Water Code section 13350.

17 18

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, the United States of America, and the People of the State of

19

California ex rel. the Department of Fish and Game, the California Regional Water Quality

2021

Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, and the California Regional Water Quality Control

22

Board, Lahontan Region respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against the

23

Defendants for:

8670.66(a)(3);

24

a. Civil penalties of up to \$1,100 per barrel of oil discharged for the spills alleged in the Complaint in violation of Section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(3);

2526

b. Civil penalties of up to \$500,000 for each discharge of oil into marine waters alleged in the Complaint in violation of California Government Code Section

28

Complaint, U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al. - 13

1	c. Civil penalties of up to \$25,000 for each act of depositing or placing
2	petroleum or petroleum product where it can pass into the waters of the State as alleged in the
3	Complaint in violation of California Fish and Game Code Section 5650.1;
4	d. Civil penalties of up to\$25,000 for each knowing violation of Section
5	309(a) of the ESA,16 U.S.C. § 1538(a);
6	e. Civil liabilities for the spills alleged in the complaint in violation of
7	California Water Code Section 13350(a)(3);
8	f. Damages, including but not limited to damages for injury to, destruction
9	of, loss of, or loss of use of natural resources, and costs of assessing natural resource damages;
10	g. Costs including response, containment, cleanup, removal, treatment,
11	monitoring, administration costs, and for attorneys fees, costs of suit and expert witnesses;
12	h. Such injunctive relief pursuant to Section 309(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C
13	§ 1319(b), as may be necessary to prevent future CWA violations; and
14	i. Such other relief as the United States and the State may be entitled.
15	
16	Respectfully submitted,
17	For PLAINTIFF, United States:
18	
19	MATTHEW MOVEOWN
20	Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources
21	Division Division
22	
23	(ANGELA O'CONNELL
24	Senior Counsel Environment and Natural Resources
25	Division Environmental Enforcement Section
26	United States Department of Justice 301 Howard St. Suite 1005
27	San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 744-6485
28	(413) /44-0403

1	For PLAINTIFF, California Department of Fish and Game:
2	
3	Stight Jay 4/5/07
4	STEPHEN L. SAWYER Assistant Chief Counsel
5	California Department of Fish and Game
6	
7	For PLAINTIFFS, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board and Lahontan
8	Regional Water Quality Control Board:
9	
10	ANITA E RUID (by poi) 5/18/07
11	Deputy Attorney General
12	California Office of the Attorney General
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Complaint, U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al. - 17